

6-2017

Relationships between Topological Properties of X and Algebraic Properties of Intermediate Rings $A(X)$

Joshua Sack

California State University, Long Beach, Joshua.Sack@csulb.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf



Part of the [Geometry and Topology Commons](#), and the [Special Functions Commons](#)

eCommons Citation

Sack, Joshua, "Relationships between Topological Properties of X and Algebraic Properties of Intermediate Rings $A(X)$ " (2017).
Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications. 34.
http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf/34

This Topology + Foundations is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

Relationships between topological properties of X and algebraic properties of intermediate rings $A(X)$

Joshua Sack

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
CSU Long Beach

University of Dayton. 2017, June 30

Tychonoff spaces

All topological spaces X we consider are **Tychonoff spaces**: **completely regular Hausdorff spaces**.

Intermediate rings of continuous functions

All ring we consider are **intermediate rings**: subrings $A(X)$ of $C(X)$ (ring of all real-valued continuous functions on X) containing $C^*(X)$ (ring of *bounded* real-valued continuous functions on X).

Let

- $\mathcal{C} = \{C(X) \mid X \text{ is a Tychonoff space}\}$
- $\mathcal{C}^* = \{C^*(X) \mid X \text{ is a Tychonoff space}\}$
- \mathcal{A} be the set of all intermediate rings

Example of Non-Trivial Intermediate Ring

Take $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A(X) = \langle C^*(X), e^x \rangle$.

Note that functions in $A(X)$ have the form

$$f = c_0(x) + c_1(x)e^x + \cdots + c_n(x)e^{nx}, \quad c_i(x) \in C^*(X).$$

Then

$$C^*(X) \subsetneq A(X) \subsetneq C(X),$$

since all functions in $A(X)$ remain bounded as $x \rightarrow -\infty$.

General goals

- A **topological property** is a class \mathcal{T} of Tychonoff spaces **closed under homeomorphism**.
- An **algebraic property** is a class \mathcal{P} of rings **closed under ring isomorphism**.

Main goal

Relate topological properties of X with algebraic properties of $A(X)$ in various ways.

We begin by examining two **topological** properties that were originally characterized **algebraically**: *P-spaces* and *F-spaces*.

A **zero-set** is a set of the form $Z(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$ for some $f \in C(X)$.

Definition (P -space)

A Tychonoff space X is a **P -space** if every zero-set in X is open.

Example

Trivially, any discrete space is a P -space.

(“ P -space” stands for “pseudo-discrete”, though “pseudo-discrete” has taken other meanings.)

P -spaces have traditionally been defined in terms of algebraic properties of $C(X)$.

Algebraic characterization (defn. in Gillman & Henriksen 1954)

X is a P -space if and only if every prime ideal in $C(X)$ is maximal.

For intermediate rings $A(X)$ of continuous functions, the following are equivalent:

- every prime ideal in $A(X)$ is maximal
- for every $f \in A(X)$, there exists $g \in A(X)$ such that $f = f^2g$.

A ring with the latter (and hence former) property is called **(von Neumann) regular**.

This characterization does not extend to intermediate rings

Theorem

If $A(X) \subsetneq C(X)$ is an intermediate ring, then there exists a prime ideal in $A(X)$ that is not maximal.

This property characterizes $C(X)$ among intermediate rings when X is a P -space:

Theorem

If X is a P -space and $A(X)$ is an intermediate ring, then $A(X) = C(X)$ if and only if $A(X)$ is regular.

Definition (F -space)

A Tychonoff space is an F -space if every two disjoint cozero-sets are completely separated.

- A **cozero-set** is the complement of a zero-set.
- Two sets A and B are **completely separated** if there exists a function f , such that $f(x) = 0$ for each $x \in A$ and $f(x) = 1$ for each $x \in B$.

Algebraic characterization of F -spaces

An intermediate ring $A(X)$ is **Bézout** if every finitely generated ideal in $A(X)$ is principal.

Algebraic Characterization (defn. in Gillman & Henriksen 1956)

X is an F -space if and only if $C(X)$ is a Bézout ring.

(Theorem in Gillman & Jerison textbook)

X is an F -space if and only if $C^*(X)$ is a Bézout ring.

Murray, Sack, Watson

Let $A(X)$ be any intermediate ring. X is an F -space if and only if $A(X)$ is a Bézout ring.

Bézout rings “fully correspond” to F -spaces.

Corresponds

Let

- \mathcal{P} be an algebraic property
- \mathcal{T} be a topological property
- \mathcal{Q} be an arbitrary class of intermediate rings.

Definition

Property \mathcal{P} **corresponds to \mathcal{T} among \mathcal{Q}** iff for any intermediate ring $A(X) \in \mathcal{Q}$,

$$A(X) \in \mathcal{P} \text{ if and only if } X \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Definition

Property \mathcal{P} **fully corresponds to \mathcal{T}** iff \mathcal{P} corresponds to \mathcal{T} among all intermediate rings.

Examples of Corresponds

- Being a **regular ring** corresponds to **P -spaces** among the class of rings $C(X)$
- Being a **regular ring** **does not** fully correspond to **P -spaces** (among all intermediate rings)
- Being a **Bézout ring** fully correspond to **F -spaces**

What properties transfer through certain relations on algebraic and topological structures?

We examine:

- Topological properties invariant under taking A -compact extensions
- Algebraic properties invariant under taking ring localization

Such invariance can help us understand how topological and algebraic properties are related.

z-ultrafilters and Stone-Čech compactification

- A **z-filter** is a filter on the lattice of zero-sets
- A **z-ultrafilter** is a maximal z-filter
- The **Stone-Čech compactification** βX of X is the set of all z-ultrafilters topologized by the hull-kernel topology:
 - (kernel) $k\mathfrak{U} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{U} \in \mathfrak{U}} \mathcal{U}$
(from set \mathfrak{U} of z-ultrafilters to z-filter)
 - (hull) $h\mathcal{F} =$ set of z-ultrafilters containing \mathcal{F}
(from z-filter \mathcal{F} to set of z-ultrafilters)
 - (closure) $cl_{\beta X} \mathfrak{U} = hk\mathfrak{U}$.

A-stable and A-compact

Given an intermediate ring $A(X)$,

- a z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is **A-stable** if every $f \in A(X)$ is bounded on some member $U \in \mathcal{U}$.
- the **A-stable hull** of a filter \mathcal{F} is $h^A \mathcal{F}$ = set of all A -stable z -ultrafilters containing \mathcal{F} .
- the **A-compactification** of X is $v_A X$ consisting of all A -stable z -ultrafilters topologized by the A -stable hull kernel topology:

$$\text{cl}_{v_A X} \mathcal{U} = h^A k \mathcal{U}.$$

Special cases

$v_C X = v X$ (the Hewitt realcompactification)

$v_{C^*} X = \beta X$ (the Stone-Ćech compactification)

Ring of extensions and C -rings

Each $f \in A(X)$ has a continuous extension $f^{v_A} : v_AX \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$f^{v_A}(p) = \lim_{U_p} f, \text{ for } p \in v_AX$$

Definition (Ring of extensions)

$$A(v_AX) = \{f^{v_A} \mid f \in A(X)\}.$$

Then $A(X)$ and $A(v_AX)$ are isomorphic.

Definition

An intermediate ring $A(X)$ is a **C-ring** if there exists a Tychonoff space Y , such that $A(X)$ is isomorphic to $C(Y)$.

$A(X)$ is a C -ring if and only if $A(v_AX) = C(v_AX)$.

Example of intermediate ring that is not a C -ring

A z -ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is **free** if $\bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{U}} E = \emptyset$

Example

Let $A(\mathbb{N}) = \langle C^*(\mathbb{N}), x \rangle$.

- $v_A \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}$ (no free z -ultrafilter is A -stable)
- but $A(\mathbb{N}) \neq C(\mathbb{N})$ ($e^x \notin A(\mathbb{N})$)

Cohereditary and P -space example

Topological property \mathcal{T} is **cohereditary with respect to** $A(X)$ provided X has property \mathcal{T} if and only if $v_A X$ has property \mathcal{T} . \mathcal{T} is **fully cohereditary** iff \mathcal{T} is cohereditary with respect to all $A(X) \in \mathcal{A}$.

The property of being a P -space is cohereditary with respect to $C(X)$

$C(X)$ is isomorphic to $C(vX)$. Hence $C(X)$ is regular iff $C(vX)$ is.

The property of being a P -space is **not** cohereditary with respect to any intermediate C -ring $A(X) \subsetneq C(X)$.

- X is a P -space $\Rightarrow A(X)$ is not regular
- $\Rightarrow C(v_A X)$ is not regular ($A(X) \cong C(v_A X)$)
- $\Rightarrow v_A X$ is **not** a P -space

Proposition

The property of being an F -space is cohereditary (with respect to any intermediate ring $A(X)$).

$$\begin{aligned} X \text{ is an } F\text{-space} &\Leftrightarrow A(X) \text{ is Bézout} \\ &\Leftrightarrow A(v_A X) \text{ is Bézout} && (A(X) \cong A(v_A X)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow v_A X \text{ is an } F\text{-space} \end{aligned}$$

Relationship between cohereditary and corresponds

Let \mathcal{P} be an algebraic property and \mathcal{T} be a topological property.

Theorem

If \mathcal{P} *fully corresponds* to \mathcal{T} then \mathcal{T} is *fully cohereditary*.

Proof.

Suppose \mathcal{P} fully corresponds to \mathcal{T} and let $A(X) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- $X \in \mathcal{T}$
- $A(X) \in \mathcal{P}$
- $A(v_A X) \in \mathcal{P}$
- $v_A X \in \mathcal{T}$,

(\mathcal{T} is cohereditary with respect to the arbitrary $A(X)$). □

Relationship between cohereditary and corresponds (cont'd)

Theorem

If \mathcal{T} is *fully cohereditary*, then the following are equivalent

- \mathcal{P} corresponds to \mathcal{T} among all rings $C(X) \in \mathcal{C}$.
- \mathcal{P} corresponds to \mathcal{T} among all intermediate C -rings.

Suppose

- (1) \mathcal{T} is *cohereditary* (among all intermediate rings) and
- (2) \mathcal{P} *corresponds to* \mathcal{T} among all rings $C(X) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Then for any C -ring $A(X)$, the following are equivalent

- $A(X) \in \mathcal{P}$
- $C(v_A X) \in \mathcal{P}$ (as $A(X) \cong C(v_A X)$)
- $v_A X \in \mathcal{T}$ by (2)
- $X \in \mathcal{T}$ by (1)

Given an intermediate ring $A(X)$ and a multiplicatively closed subset $S \subseteq A(X)$, the **localization** of $A(X)$ with respect to S is

$$S^{-1}A(X) = \{f/s \mid f \in A(X), s \in S\},$$

identifying f/s with g/t when $ft = gs$.

Theorem (Domínguez et al. 1997)

Let $A(X) \in \mathcal{A}$ and let S be the set of bounded units of $A(X)$. Then $A(X) \cong S^{-1}C^(X)$.*

Note that the set of bounded units is multiplicatively closed.

Cohereditary algebraic properties

A set of functions S is **saturated** if $fg \in S$ implies $f, g \in S$.

Definition

An algebraic property \mathcal{P} is **cohereditary** if for any saturated multiplicatively closed subset $S \subseteq C^*(X)$, $C^*(X) \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $S^{-1}C^*(X) \in \mathcal{P}$ ($S^{-1}C^*(X)$ is isomorphic to a ring in \mathcal{P}).

Example

The property of being Bézout is cohereditary.

Theorem

If \mathcal{P} is cohereditary, then the following are equivalent:

- \mathcal{P} corresponds to \mathcal{T} among \mathcal{C}^*
- \mathcal{P} corresponds to \mathcal{T} among \mathcal{A} .

Suppose

- (1) \mathcal{P} is cohereditary, and
- (2) \mathcal{P} corresponds to \mathcal{T} among \mathcal{C}^* .

Then for any intermediate ring $A(X)$, there exists a saturated multiplicatively closed $S \subseteq C^*(X)$ such that $A(X) \cong S^{-1}C^*(X)$.

Then, the following are equivalent:

- $A(X) \in \mathcal{P}$
- $S^{-1}C^*(X) \in \mathcal{P}$
- $C^*(X) \in \mathcal{P}$ by (1)
- $X \in \mathcal{T}$ by (2)

Conclusion

- Use notion of **corresponds** to relate topological properties of X and algebraic properties of $A(X)$.
- Illustrate relationships using topological properties of **P -spaces** and **F -spaces** and algebraic properties of **regular** and **Bézout**.
- Examined what **property preserving** topological or algebraic **transformations** tell us about the relationships among topological and algebraic properties

THANK YOU!