

6-2017

Balanced and Functionally Balanced P-Groups

Menachem Shlossberg
University of Udine, menysh@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf

 Part of the [Geometry and Topology Commons](#), and the [Special Functions Commons](#)

eCommons Citation

Shlossberg, Menachem, "Balanced and Functionally Balanced P-Groups" (2017). *Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications*.
43.
http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf/43

This Topology + Algebra and Analysis is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

Balanced and functionally balanced P -groups

Menachem Shlossberg

University of Udine, Italy

**32nd Summer Conference on Topology and its
Applications**

June 27-30, 2017, Dayton, Ohio, USA

- 1 Itzkowitz's Problem
- 2 P -groups and uniform P -spaces
- 3 Coincidence of free objects
- 4 The subsets B_n

The left uniformity \mathcal{L}_G of a topological group G is formed by the sets $U_L := \{(x, y) \in G^2 : x^{-1}y \in U\}$, where U is a neighborhood of the identity element of G . The right uniformity \mathcal{R}_G is defined analogously.

Definition

A topological group G is:

- 1 **balanced** if $\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{R}_G$;
- 2 **strongly functionally balanced** if every left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous;
- 3 **functionally balanced** if every bounded left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous.

The left uniformity \mathcal{L}_G of a topological group G is formed by the sets $U_L := \{(x, y) \in G^2 : x^{-1}y \in U\}$, where U is a neighborhood of the identity element of G . The right uniformity \mathcal{R}_G is defined analogously.

Definition

A topological group G is:

- 1 **balanced** if $\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{R}_G$;
- 2 **strongly functionally balanced** if every left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous;
- 3 **functionally balanced** if every bounded left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous.

The left uniformity \mathcal{L}_G of a topological group G is formed by the sets $U_L := \{(x, y) \in G^2 : x^{-1}y \in U\}$, where U is a neighborhood of the identity element of G . The right uniformity \mathcal{R}_G is defined analogously.

Definition

A topological group G is:

- ① **balanced** if $\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{R}_G$;
- ② **strongly functionally balanced** if every left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous;
- ③ **functionally balanced** if every bounded left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous.

The left uniformity \mathcal{L}_G of a topological group G is formed by the sets $U_L := \{(x, y) \in G^2 : x^{-1}y \in U\}$, where U is a neighborhood of the identity element of G . The right uniformity \mathcal{R}_G is defined analogously.

Definition

A topological group G is:

- 1 **balanced** if $\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{R}_G$;
- 2 **strongly functionally balanced** if every left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous;
- 3 **functionally balanced** if every bounded left-uniformly continuous real-valued function on G is also right-uniformly continuous.

Itzkowitz's Problem

Is every (strongly) functionally balanced group balanced?

In the survey paper from 2007 "**Problems about the uniform structures of topological groups**", A. Bouziad and J. -P. Troadec ask:

Question

Is every functionally balanced group strongly functionally balanced?

Itzkowitz's Problem

Is every (strongly) functionally balanced group balanced?

In the survey paper from 2007 "**Problems about the uniform structures of topological groups**", A. Bouziad and J. -P. Troadec ask:

Question

Is every functionally balanced group strongly functionally balanced?

Functionally balanced = Balanced

- 1 Locally compact groups (Itzkowitz, Milnes and Protasov indep.)
- 2 Metrizable groups (Protasov)
- 3 Locally connected groups (Megrelishvili-Nickolas-Pestov)

Strongly functionally balanced = Balanced

- 1 Non-archimedean \aleph_0 -bounded groups (Hernández)
- 2 A non-archimedean group that is strongly functionally generated by the set of all its subspaces of countable σ -tightness (Troallic)

Definition

- 1 A topological group in which the intersection of countably many open sets is still open is a **P -group**.
- 2 A uniform P -space (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform space in which the intersection of countably many elements of \mathcal{U} is again in \mathcal{U} .

It is easy to prove that if G is a P -group, then it has a local base at the identity consisting of open subgroups. That is, G is **non-archimedean**. Also, if (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform P -space, then it has a base of equivalence relations. Such a uniform space is called **non-archimedean**.

Definition

- 1 A topological group in which the intersection of countably many open sets is still open is a **P-group**.
- 2 A **uniform P-space** (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform space in which the intersection of countably many elements of \mathcal{U} is again in \mathcal{U} .

It is easy to prove that if G is a P -group, then it has a local base at the identity consisting of open subgroups. That is, G is **non-archimedean**. Also, if (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform P -space, then it has a base of equivalence relations. Such a uniform space is called **non-archimedean**.

Definition

- 1 A topological group in which the intersection of countably many open sets is still open is a **P -group**.
- 2 A **uniform P -space** (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform space in which the intersection of countably many elements of \mathcal{U} is again in \mathcal{U} .

It is easy to prove that if G is a P -group, then it has a local base at the identity consisting of open subgroups. That is, G is **non-archimedean**. Also, if (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform P -space, then it has a base of equivalence relations. Such a uniform space is called **non-archimedean**.

Definition

- 1 A topological group in which the intersection of countably many open sets is still open is a **P-group**.
- 2 A **uniform P-space** (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform space in which the intersection of countably many elements of \mathcal{U} is again in \mathcal{U} .

It is easy to prove that if G is a P -group, then it has a local base at the identity consisting of open subgroups. That is, G is **non-archimedean**. Also, if (X, \mathcal{U}) is a uniform P -space, then it has a base of equivalence relations. Such a uniform space is called **non-archimedean**.

Definition

Let τ be an infinite cardinal.

- 1 A topological group G is called **τ -bounded** if for every neighborhood U of the identity, there exists a set F of cardinality not greater than τ such that $FU = G$.
- 2 A uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is **τ -narrow** if for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists a set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} \varepsilon[x_\alpha]$.

The following is a reformulation of a Lemma from “**Topological groups and related structures**”:

Lemma (Arhangel'skii-Tkachenko)

An \aleph_0 -bounded P-group is balanced.

Definition

Let τ be an infinite cardinal.

- 1 A topological group G is called **τ -bounded** if for every neighborhood U of the identity, there exists a set F of cardinality not greater than τ such that $FU = G$.
- 2 A uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is **τ -narrow** if for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists a set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} \varepsilon[x_\alpha]$.

The following is a reformulation of a Lemma from “Topological groups and related structures”:

Lemma (Arhangel'skii-Tkachenko)

An \aleph_0 -bounded P-group is balanced.

Definition

Let τ be an infinite cardinal.

- 1 A topological group G is called **τ -bounded** if for every neighborhood U of the identity, there exists a set F of cardinality not greater than τ such that $FU = G$.
- 2 A uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is **τ -narrow** if for every $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{U}$, there exists a set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \tau} \varepsilon[x_\alpha]$.

The following is a reformulation of a Lemma from “**Topological groups and related structures**”:

Lemma (Arhangel'skii-Tkachenko)

An \aleph_0 -bounded P-group is balanced.

Extension of the main definitions

Definition

We say that a symmetric subset B of a topological group G is:

- 1 **balanced** if the left and right uniformities of G coincide on B ;
- 2 **strongly functionally balanced** if every \mathcal{L}_G^B -uniformly continuous function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{R}_G^B -uniformly continuous;
- 3 **functionally balanced** if every bounded \mathcal{L}_G^B -uniformly continuous function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{R}_G^B -uniformly continuous.

Extension of the main definitions

Definition

We say that a symmetric subset B of a topological group G is:

- 1 **balanced** if the left and right uniformities of G coincide on B ;
- 2 **strongly functionally balanced** if every \mathcal{L}_G^B -uniformly continuous function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{R}_G^B -uniformly continuous;
- 3 **functionally balanced** if every bounded \mathcal{L}_G^B -uniformly continuous function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{R}_G^B -uniformly continuous.

Extension of the main definitions

Definition

We say that a symmetric subset B of a topological group G is:

- 1 **balanced** if the left and right uniformities of G coincide on B ;
- 2 **strongly functionally balanced** if every \mathcal{L}_G^B -uniformly continuous function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{R}_G^B -uniformly continuous;
- 3 **functionally balanced** if every bounded \mathcal{L}_G^B -uniformly continuous function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{R}_G^B -uniformly continuous.

Theorem

Let G be a *P*-group with a symmetric subset B . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1 B is strongly functionally balanced.
- 2 B is functionally balanced.
- 3 If $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_G^B$ is an equivalence relation with at most c equivalence classes, then $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}_G^B$.

Theorem

Let G be a *P*-group with a symmetric subset B . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1 B is strongly functionally balanced.
- 2 B is functionally balanced.
- 3 If $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_G^B$ is an equivalence relation with at most c equivalence classes, then $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}_G^B$.

Theorem

Let G be a *P*-group with a symmetric subset B . Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- 1 B is strongly functionally balanced.
- 2 B is functionally balanced.
- 3 If $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_G^B$ is an equivalence relation with at most c equivalence classes, then $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}_G^B$.

Corollary

Let G be a P -group. Then, G is functionally balanced iff it is strongly functionally balanced.

Corollary

Let G be a c -bounded P -group. Then G is balanced iff it is functionally balanced.

This should be compared to the following result:

Theorem (Hernández)

Let G be a non-archimedean \aleph_0 -bounded topological group. Then G is balanced iff it is strongly functionally balanced.

Corollary

Let G be a P -group. Then, G is functionally balanced iff it is strongly functionally balanced.

Corollary

Let G be a c -bounded P -group. Then G is balanced iff it is functionally balanced.

This should be compared to the following result:

Theorem (Hernández)

Let G be a non-archimedean \aleph_0 -bounded topological group. Then G is balanced iff it is strongly functionally balanced.

Corollary

Let G be a P -group. Then, G is functionally balanced iff it is strongly functionally balanced.

Corollary

Let G be a c -bounded P -group. Then G is balanced iff it is functionally balanced.

This should be compared to the following result:

Theorem (Hernández)

Let G be a non-archimedean \aleph_0 -bounded topological group. Then G is balanced iff it is strongly functionally balanced.

Definition

Let Ω be a subclass of **TGr** and (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. By an Ω -free topological group of (X, \mathcal{U}) we mean a pair $(F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}), i)$, where $F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \in \Omega$ and $i : X \rightarrow F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a uniform map satisfying the following universal property. For every uniformly continuous map $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow G$ where $G \in \Omega$ there exists a unique continuous homomorphism $\Phi : F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow G$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{i} & F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & G \end{array}$$

Definition

Let Ω be a subclass of **TGr** and (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. By an **Ω -free topological group of (X, \mathcal{U})** we mean a pair $(F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}), i)$, where $F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \in \Omega$ and $i : X \rightarrow F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a uniform map satisfying the following universal property. For every uniformly continuous map $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow G$ where $G \in \Omega$ there exists a unique continuous homomorphism $\Phi : F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow G$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{i} & F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & G \end{array}$$

Definition

Let Ω be a subclass of **TGr** and (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. By an **Ω -free topological group of (X, \mathcal{U})** we mean a pair $(F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}), i)$, where $F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \in \Omega$ and $i : X \rightarrow F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a uniform map satisfying the following universal property. For every uniformly continuous map $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow G$ where $G \in \Omega$ there exists a unique continuous homomorphism $\Phi : F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow G$ for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \mathcal{U}) & \xrightarrow{i} & F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U}) \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \Phi \\ & & G \end{array}$$

Some Ω -free groups

For $\Omega = \mathbf{TGr}$ the universal object $F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U})$ is the *uniform free topological group* of (X, \mathcal{U}) . This group was invented by Nakayama and studied by Numella and Pestov. In particular, Pestov described its topology.

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a non-archimedean uniform space.

- 1 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}$. The *free non-archimedean group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}$.
- 2 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}_b$. The *free non-archimedean balanced group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}^b$.

These groups were defined and studied by Megrelishvili and Shlossberg.

Some Ω -free groups

For $\Omega = \mathbf{TGr}$ the universal object $F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U})$ is the *uniform free topological group* of (X, \mathcal{U}) . This group was invented by Nakayama and studied by Numella and Pestov. In particular, Pestov described its topology.

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a non-archimedean uniform space.

- 1 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}$. The *free non-archimedean group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}$.
- 2 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}_b$. The *free non-archimedean balanced group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}^b$.

These groups were defined and studied by Megrelishvili and Shlossberg.

Some Ω -free groups

For $\Omega = \mathbf{TGr}$ the universal object $F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U})$ is the *uniform free topological group* of (X, \mathcal{U}) . This group was invented by Nakayama and studied by Numella and Pestov. In particular, Pestov described its topology.

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a non-archimedean uniform space.

- 1 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}$. The *free non-archimedean group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}$.
- 2 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}_b$. The *free non-archimedean balanced group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}^b$.

These groups were defined and studied by Megrelishvili and Shlossberg.

Some Ω -free groups

For $\Omega = \mathbf{TGr}$ the universal object $F_\Omega(X, \mathcal{U})$ is the *uniform free topological group* of (X, \mathcal{U}) . This group was invented by Nakayama and studied by Numella and Pestov. In particular, Pestov described its topology.

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a non-archimedean uniform space.

- 1 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}$. The *free non-archimedean group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}$.
- 2 $\Omega = \mathbf{NA}_b$. The *free non-archimedean balanced group* $F_{\mathbf{NA}}^b$.

These groups were defined and studied by Megrelishvili and Shlossberg.

Remark

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a non-archimedean uniform space. By the universal properties of the universal objects one can show that:

- 1 if $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is non-archimedean, then $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ coincides with $F_{NA}(X, \mathcal{U})$;*
- 2 if $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is also balanced, then these groups coincide also with $F_{NA}^b(X, \mathcal{U})$.*

Remark

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a non-archimedean uniform space. By the universal properties of the universal objects one can show that:

- 1 if $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is non-archimedean, then $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ coincides with $F_{\mathcal{N.A.}}(X, \mathcal{U})$;
- 2 if $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is also balanced, then these groups coincide also with $F_{\mathcal{N.A.}}^b(X, \mathcal{U})$.

Remark

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a non-archimedean uniform space. By the universal properties of the universal objects one can show that:

- 1 if $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is non-archimedean, then $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ coincides with $F_{\mathcal{N.A.}}(X, \mathcal{U})$;
- 2 if $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is also balanced, then these groups coincide also with $F_{\mathcal{N.A.}}^b(X, \mathcal{U})$.

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. Suppose that there exists an infinite cardinal τ such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{U}$ for any family of entourages $\{\varepsilon_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ with $|I| \leq \tau$. Then,

- 1 the intersection of any family of cardinality at most τ of open subsets of $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is open. In particular, $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a P-group and we have $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U})$.
- 2 if the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is also τ -narrow then

$$F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}^b(X, \mathcal{U}).$$

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. Suppose that there exists an infinite cardinal τ such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{U}$ for any family of entourages $\{\varepsilon_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ with $|I| \leq \tau$. Then,

- 1 the intersection of any family of cardinality at most τ of open subsets of $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is open. In particular, $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a P -group and we have $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U})$.
- 2 if the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is also τ -narrow then

$$F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}^b(X, \mathcal{U}).$$

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform space. Suppose that there exists an infinite cardinal τ such that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{U}$ for any family of entourages $\{\varepsilon_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ with $|I| \leq \tau$. Then,

- 1 the intersection of any family of cardinality at most τ of open subsets of $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is open. In particular, $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a P-group and we have $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U})$.
- 2 if the uniform space (X, \mathcal{U}) is also τ -narrow then

$$F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}}^b(X, \mathcal{U}).$$

Omitting the τ -narrowness assumption from the last theorem we obtain the following counterexample.

Example

By a result of Nickolas and Tkachenko, for every cardinal $\tau > \aleph_1$, there exists a Hausdorff uniform P -space such that $w(X, \mathcal{U}) = \aleph_1 < \tau < \chi(F(X, \mathcal{U}))$. By a theorem of Megrelishvili and Shlossberg, $\chi(F_{NA}^b(X, \mathcal{U})) = w(X, \mathcal{U})$. We conclude that $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{NA}(X, \mathcal{U}) \neq F_{NA}^b(X, \mathcal{U})$.

Omitting the τ -narrowness assumption from the last theorem we obtain the following counterexample.

Example

By a result of Nickolas and Tkachenko, for every cardinal $\tau > \aleph_1$, there exists a Hausdorff uniform *P*-space such that $w(X, \mathcal{U}) = \aleph_1 < \tau < \chi(F(X, \mathcal{U}))$. By a theorem of Megrelishvili and Shlossberg, $\chi(F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}^b(X, \mathcal{U})) = w(X, \mathcal{U})$. We conclude that $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U}) \neq F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}^b(X, \mathcal{U})$.

Omitting the τ -narrowness assumption from the last theorem we obtain the following counterexample.

Example

By a result of Nickolas and Tkachenko, for every cardinal $\tau > \aleph_1$, there exists a Hausdorff uniform *P*-space such that $w(X, \mathcal{U}) = \aleph_1 < \tau < \chi(F(X, \mathcal{U}))$. By a theorem of Megrelishvili and Shlossberg, $\chi(F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}^b(X, \mathcal{U})) = w(X, \mathcal{U})$. We conclude that $F(X, \mathcal{U}) = F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}(X, \mathcal{U}) \neq F_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{A}}^b(X, \mathcal{U})$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by B_n the subset of $F(X)$ consisting of all words of length at most n .

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform P -space. Then, $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is balanced if and only if B_n is balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by B_n the subset of $F(X)$ consisting of all words of length at most n .

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform P -space. Then, $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is balanced if and only if B_n is balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform P -space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 B_n is strongly functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 B_n is functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 3 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is functionally balanced.
- 4 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is strongly functionally balanced.

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform P -space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 B_n is strongly functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 B_n is functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 3 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is functionally balanced.
- 4 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is strongly functionally balanced.

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform P -space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 B_n is strongly functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 B_n is functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 3 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is functionally balanced.
- 4 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is strongly functionally balanced.

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform P -space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 B_n is strongly functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 B_n is functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 3 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is functionally balanced.
- 4 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is strongly functionally balanced.

Theorem

Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be a uniform P -space. The following are equivalent:

- 1 B_n is strongly functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2 B_n is functionally balanced for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 3 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is functionally balanced.
- 4 $F(X, \mathcal{U})$ is strongly functionally balanced.

Thank you!