

University of Dayton Law Review

Volume 1 | Number 1

Article 1

January 1976

Front Matter, Volume 1, Number 1 (1976)

University of Dayton

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton (1976) "Front Matter, Volume 1, Number 1 (1976)," *University of Dayton Law Review*.
Vol. 1: No. 1, Article 1.

Available at: <https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr/vol1/iss1/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Dayton Law Review by an authorized editor of eCommons. For more information, please contact mschlangen1@udayton.edu, ecommons@udayton.edu.

**UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
LAW REVIEW**

VOLUME 1

1976

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 1

JANUARY 1976

NUMBER 1

PREFACE

PRESIDENT'S FOREWORD	<i>Raymond A. Roesch, S.M.</i>	v
DEAN'S MESSAGE	<i>Richard L. Braun</i>	vi

ARTICLE

BANKS AND THE EQUAL PAY ACT: ESTABLISHING A BONA FIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM	<i>Merle F. Wildberding</i>	1
--	-----------------------------	---

COMMENT

PRIVACY: DOES FREEDOM OF THE PRESS ALLOW FOR PROTECTION OF A RAPE VICTIM'S IDENTITY— <i>Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn</i> , 95 S. Ct. 1029 (1975)		17
--	--	----

NOTES

ABORTION: THE RIGHT OF MINORS TO ABORTION WITHOUT PARENTAL CONSENT— <i>State v. Koome</i> , 84 Wash. 2d 901, 530 P.2d 260 (1975)		31
--	--	----

ARMED FORCES: SEX-BASED DRAFT VIOLATES DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION— <i>United States v. Reiser</i> , 394 F. Supp. 1060 (D. Mont. 1975)		39
---	--	----

COMPUTERIZED CRIMINAL RECORDS: THE DUTY OF THE FBI TO MAINTAIN AND DISSEMINATE ACCURATE FILES— <i>Tarlton v. Saxbe</i> , 507 F.2d 1116 (D.C. Cir. 1974)		47
---	--	----

DEFECTIVE DESIGN: SECOND COLLISION INJURIES AND THE BURDEN OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE— <i>Nanda v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 509 F.2d 213 (7th Cir. 1974)		61
---	--	----

EEOC: SENIORITY RIGHTS DURING LAYOFFS IN LIGHT OF TITLE VII— <i>Jersey Central Power & Light Co. v. Local 327, IBEW</i> , 508 F.2d 867 (3d Cir. 1975)		71
---	--	----

MILITARY INSTITUTIONS: DUE PROCESS FOR CADETS— <i>Andrews v. Knowlton</i> , 367 F. Supp. 1263 (S.D.N.Y. 1973), <i>aff'd</i> 509 F.2d 898 (2d Cir. 1975)		79
---	--	----

MUNICIPAL LIABILITY: REFUSAL TO IMPUTE PUNITIVE DAMAGES TO A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION FOR THE INTENTIONAL TORT OF AN EMPLOYEE— <i>Williams v. City of New York</i> , 508 F.2d 356 (2d Cir. 1974)		89
---	--	----

SEX DISCRIMINATION: FEMALE PARTICIPATION IN LITTLE LEAGUE BASEBALL— <i>King v. Little League Baseball, Inc.</i> , 505 F.2d 264 (6th Cir. 1974)		97
--	--	----

TREATIES: THE INTERPRETATION OF DUE PROCESS IN FOREIGN TREATIES— <i>De Tenorio v. McGowan</i> , 510 F.2d 92 (5th Cir. 1975)		111
---	--	-----

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 1

JANUARY 1976

NUMBER 1

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
THOMAS P. WHELLEY II

CASE & COMMENT EDITOR
BARBARA PUGLIESE GORMAN

ARTICLES EDITOR
ANTHONY J. SPOSARO

BUSINESS MANAGER
DANIEL N. KOSANOVICH

ASSISTANT BUSINESS MANAGER
JAMES L. RADOS

STAFF

DANIEL R. CUSICK
MARY EGGER
DALMA GRANDJEAN
TERRY MILLER
BRIAN PETROZIELLO
RICHARD RAWSON
PATRICIA J. STEINER
TERRY TIMBLIN
ALBERT J. WAGNER
NANCY J. WISE

FACULTY ADVISOR MICHAEL P. KATZ

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW
300 COLLEGE PARK
DAYTON, OHIO 45469
PHONE 513-229-3642

PUBLISHED JANUARY AND MAY

Views expressed in this law review are those of the author of the article and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Trustees, Faculty, Administration of the University of Dayton Law School or the University of Dayton.


Copyright ©1976 by the University of Dayton Law Review.

PRESIDENT'S FOREWORD

So many pleasant emotions are connected with publication of this first issue of the Law Review. Perhaps foremost is pride in the rapid progress of the Law School since its rebirth in August of 1974. There were some who predicted at that time that history would repeat itself: as the original Law School was forced to close during the Great Depression, so might this venture fail in the face of unprecedented inflationary economic conditions. Happily, the pessimists have so far proven incorrect. And while the challenges inherent in every new beginning have not been absent from this one, the publication before me represents only encouragement. To be able to have in one's hand, less than two years after the Law School's doors reopened, physical proof that the University of Dayton is once again generating high-calibre legal education, is a source of satisfaction such as is seldom enjoyed.

Inseparable from this feeling of justifiable pride is one of gratitude. Without the generous commitment of the surrounding legal and civic community, the law school would still be a fading memory rather than a vigorous reality. I am confident that the editors of the Law Review share my wish that this issue, directed solely to the Miami Valley area, will be of benefit to the local practitioner in a different and hopefully significant way to supplement his current informational sources.

Finally, one cannot suppress a feeling of respect for the charter class itself, which, aided by faculty support, is directly responsible for the Law Review's realization. It is clear from this issue that their understandable desire for speed did not triumph over concern for quality, for the standards of the first Law Review pose a challenge for subsequent editors. Judging from this volume, it is a standard I can predict will be the criterion of future issues.



Raymond A. Roesch S.M.

President of the University of Dayton


DEAN'S MESSAGE

It is particularly appropriate that the year 1976 marks not only the two hundredth anniversary of our nation's birth but also the first issue of the University of Dayton's Intramural Law Review. It gives me more than a little pride to have the honor, along with Father Roesch, of introducing this new publication.

In a very real sense this first issue represents the end of a beginning. Less than eighteen months ago our first class commenced studies here. Now those students have progressed halfway through their law school careers. They have also produced this first example of what we hope and expect will be a long tradition of excellent legal scholarship. In this issue we have the tangible culmination of the efforts contributed by students and faculty who were directly involved in its writing and publication.

We think our Intramural Law Review, which will be distributed principally in the Dayton area, demonstrates the commitment of the University to achievement of the highest goals attainable by our Law School. It also is testimony to the vital part played by the Dayton community in helping create the Law School and in serving as a sounding board and constructively critical audience for our new publication.

Although the issues this first year will only be distributed locally, we are already planning for the second volume, which will be national in its scope, to commence in the fall of 1976. The spirit, scholarship and industry evidenced by the students who put together our Intramural Law Review constitute a most favorable omen for the future of this publication and our Law School.



Richard L. Braun

University of Dayton School of Law

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW