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Minutes of the CAP Competencies Committee (CAPCC)

Date: February 18, 2013
Location: LTC Forum

Present:
Dominic Sanfilippo, SGA
Don Pair
Fred Jenkins
Jim Dunne
Juan Santamarina
Kathryn Kinnucan-Welsch
Leno Pedrotti
Scott Schneider
Elizabeth Gustafson
Keri Brown-Kirschman
Sawyer Hunley
Joan Plungis
John White
Jarred White, SGA
Jennifer Creech

Absent:
Riad Alakkad
Becki Lawhorn

Guests:
Chris Agnew, History

Minutes:
Review of 2/11
Leno moved to approve, Jim D second....none opposed or abstained; approved.

Discussion Item: CIM
- Submit feedback to Jennifer Creech.
- Update plan: 3 weeks, 3 weeks, 6 weeks, 4 months, 6 months, 12 months.
- Editing is quicker now that it is live.
- Changes will not be reflected on previously created forms/proposals already entered. Edit to a course entered before system updates may require answering “new” questions that have been added after an update.
- Concern about capability of all faculty to edit proposals in progress
  - Jennifer will look into system options to alleviate
- Concern about “proposer” field
  - Currently displays the individual entering the form data – needs to be the actual proposer name

Discussion Items: Course Proposal Review of HST 498
- Proposal was discussed and questions were posed relating to Vocation and Consultation
- Motion was made and second motion made for “No Action”
  - No Action “Yes” votes: 10
  - No Action “No” votes: 0
  - No Action “Abstain” votes: 1
- No Action on HST 498 was agreed upon for these reasons:
  - Lack specificity with regard to vocations, 4.4, 4.5., 4.6...
  - There were questions about whether the course design “provides students the opportunity to engage, integrate, practice, and demonstrate the knowledge and skills in their major courses and which reflect learning outcomes associated with HIR.” The questions are not about their major courses but about outcomes associated with HIR.
  - Consultation was sparse
  - Need to fill out 3.3

Next Meeting: Monday, February 25, 2013, 3:00PM