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Executive Committee Minutes - October 19, 2001

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
DAYTON, OHIO

MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE

October 19, 2001 – 1:00 p.m. in St. Mary’s Hall 113B

PRESIDING: Betty Youngkin
SENATORS PRESENT: Bartlett, Bartley, Dandaneau, Doyle, Dunne, Gerla, Hary, Morman, F. Pestello, Youngkin

1. Opening Prayer: B. Youngkin opened the meeting with a reading from the book entitled *Dakota* by Kathleen Norris.

2. Roll Call: Ten of twelve members were present.

3. Approval of ECAS minutes of October 5, 2001: The minutes were tabled until the next ECAS meeting, November 2, 2001.

4. Debriefing of October 12 Senate Meeting: Favorable comments were made by all committee members. It was suggested that all members of the Senate be cognizant that they are being filmed for later viewing on Flyer TV and that forethought be taken about what they say in the meeting. Members need to speak loudly because there may be only one microphone in the room. At the November 9 Budget/Faculty Meeting the faculty will be reminded that they, the students, and administration are welcome to come to the ECAS committee meetings.

5. Draft 2 of Proposal on Suspension Notations Due to Disciplinary Violations on the Academic Transcript: Draft 2 of the proposal that Level 3 Suspension be placed on the academic transcript was presented to the ECAS. Word changes to the proposal were suggested and will be made by G. Doyle. Several points were brought up: a notation on the transcript would alert another institution about a severe disciplinary action and this may be the only way the other institution would have the information. The notation would also alert another institution to the protection necessary for the health, welfare and safety and well being of other persons. The consensus of the discussion was that the definition and consequences of Level 3 Suspension must be clearer. What are the grounds? What are the violations? It was suggested that those who may make up the committee to define Level 3 Suspensions should be diverse, informed, and representative of all units of the University who hold responsibility for University of Dayton students.

6. Short Summary of Board of Trustees Meeting: Three points of discussion from the Board meeting were highlighted by F. Pestello.
Ex Corde Ecclesiae - The University and the Archbishop have agreed on a mutually acceptable process for the mandatum requirement.

Budget – the Board discussed the budget but made no decision about a tuition increase.

Presidential Search – the Board was updated on the progress of the search. Off campus interviews are complete and final candidates (two – four) will be invited to campus for interviews some time between November 5 and 15. Sixty-minute open forums will be held for faculty, staff and students.

7. Committee Reports: H Gerla, Chair of Faculty Affairs Committee, discussed several issues.

- Recommendation by Human Resources to support the change in the Tuition Exchange Program by asking that if there is more than one member of a family who is employed by the University of Dayton, the senior member may use his/her seniority to apply the Tuition Exchange for a child while the other member of the family will not lose his/her seniority.

- Optional extension time for tenure track professors from seven to ten years. The Faculty Affairs Committee supports this option for faculty. A draft of the proposal is being written.

- Discussion of faculty on sabbatical or leave to vote on changes to the Senate Constitution. It was agreed that faculty who are on sabbatical or leave have the option to personally decide if they would like to vote or to opt out on the right to vote. A suggestion was made to add an addendum to the sabbatical letter to tell the faculty member that he/she is entitled to the right to vote, or opt out of the voting. D. Bickford will be notified to review this proposed addendum to sabbatical letters.

J. Dunne, Chair of Academic Policies Committee, expects information from the Graduate Council and the Graduate School about possible graduate policies and the affect of the +/- grading system. T. Skill has been invited to talk about the status of the technology requirements for students and the Registrar has been asked to give an update on the computerized registration recordkeeping system at one of the committee meetings.

8. The meeting was adjourned: 2:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by J. Rogatto