University of Dayton Law Review

Volume 2 | Number 1

Article 1

January 1977

Front Matter, Volume 2, Number 1 (1977)

University of Dayton. Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr



Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Law Review (1977) "Front Matter, Volume 2, Number 1 (1977)," University of Dayton Law Review: Vol. 2: No. 1, Article 1.

Available at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr/vol2/iss1/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Dayton Law Review by an authorized editor of eCommons. For more information, please contact mschlangen1@udayton.edu, ecommons@udayton.edu.

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 2

1977

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW

Volume 2	Winter 1977 Number	_1
THEORY AND	retical versus Practical Legal Education PRACTICE IN TRAINING FOR THE LAW: THINKING R AND DOING WHAT HE DOES	3
Practical Ski Curriculm	ILLS IN THE LAW SCHOOL Richard L. Braun	g
ARTICLES		
THE FEDERAL OBSCENITY CA	JUDICIAL DISTRICT AS "COMMUNITY" IN ASES Carl B. Rubin & Gary Winters	2
COMMENTS		
IT VIOLATE TH F.2d 909 (5t	PUNISHMENT IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS: Does HE EIGHTH AMENDMENT?—Ingraham v. Wright, 525 ch Cir). (en banc), cert. granted, 96 S. Ct. 2200	39
GUEST STA	TUTES: Another Fault with No-Fault	5
VOICEPRIN	TS: The Determination of Admissibility	7
NOTES		
FOR FAILURE	USE: CIVIL LIABILITY OF PHYSICIANS AND HOSPITALS TO REPORT—Landeros v. Flood, 17 Cal. 3d 399, 131 Cal. Rptr. 69 (1976)	9
OF HOME FU	SING ACT: DISCRIMINATION IN THE DISTRIBUTION NANCING—Laufman v. Oakley Building and Loan Supp. 489 (S.D. Ohio 1976)	10
FROM PRETR	L AND FREE PRESS: Exclusion of the Press tal Hearings—State ex rel. Dayton Newspapers 46 Ohio St. 2d 457, 351 N.E.2d 127 (1976)	11
TIONAL—Roe	DRUG USE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNCONSTITU- e v. Ingraham, 403 F. Supp. 931 (S.D.N.Y. 1975) noted sub nom., Whalen v. Roe, 44 U.S.L.W. 3471 (4, 1976)	12
GEROUS SPE	FFENDERS: THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE DAN- CCIAL OFFENDER ACT—United States v. Stewart, 6 (6th Cir.), cert. denied, 96 S.Ct. 2629 (1976)	13
SEARCH A	AND SEIZURE: THE DETECTION OF MARIJUANA BY OGS—United States v. Bronstein, 521 F.2d 459 (2d cert. denied, 96 S.Ct. 1121 (1976)	14