

3-4-2003

## Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2003-02-27

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins)

---

### Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee, "Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2003-02-27" (2003). *All Committee Minutes*. Paper 63.  
[http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins/63](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/63)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact [frice1@udayton.edu](mailto:frice1@udayton.edu).

**Academic Policies Committee**  
**February 27, 2003**

**Minutes**

In attendance: Dave Biers, Paul Eloe, Heidi Gauder, Linda Hartley, Pat Palermo, Jonathan Pyles, John Rapp, Gordon Sargent

The meeting was called to order at 1:30 p.m. by John Rapp, committee chair.

1. Discussion of on line registration

A discussion of the concerns about on line registration was held. The committee reviewed concerns expressed about the current system, and expressed the hope that the new web advisor system will remedy some of the current problems. There were also questions raised about faculty involvement in customizing the new system. The committee agreed to ask representatives from the registration office and UDIT to attend the next committee meeting.

2. Discussion of university calendar issues

A discussion was held concerning the numerous university calendar issues. Linda Hartley made available a list of calendar priorities circulated by the registrar. The committee felt that too little emphasis was given to academic calendar objectives. After discussion of several ideas, the committee agreed that a select calendar committee should be set up. It would consist of one member of each senate standing committee, and other representatives appointed by the provost or associate provost. Other representatives would include someone from the learning village and someone from student development. This will be discussed at the next meeting of the executive committee

3. General education

A brief discussion was held on the role of the APC in reviewing thematic clusters and graduation competencies. Pat Johnson will be asked for an up date on these issues at our next meeting and her proposed time table for these reviews.

JR  
3/4/03