

9-14-2001

Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2001-09-14

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee, "Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2001-09-14" (2001). *All Committee Minutes*. 76.
https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/76

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

Academic Policies Committee Minutes - September 14, 2001

ACADEMIC POLICIES COMMITTEE MINUTES

Meeting Time: 8:00 am Sept. 14, 2001
Meeting Place: KU310

Presiding: James Dunne

Senators Present: Bartlett, Cox, Dunne, Erdei, Jukanovic, Pedrotti, Saliba

Ex Officio Members Present: Palermo

Handouts: James Dunne provided a draft of the wording of legislation to be put before the Senate regarding impacts of the new +/- grading system on existing University policy.

1. The minutes of the April 20, 2001 meeting of the APC were approved.
2. Preparation of legislation on the impact of the new grading system

James Dunne provides the committee with a draft of the legislation regarding the impacts of the new +/- grading system on existing University policy.

Pat Palermo suggested that the document should include a statement to the effect that individual units should examine any internal policies impacted by the new grade scale. It was agreed that the draft would be altered to include such wording.

Donna Cox suggested that it be made clear that the wording in the draft indicating that existing policies that refer to the grade C should now be interpreted as C+ or C or C- refers to university wide policies. Individual units should use their own judgment when restructuring their internal policies referring to the grade of C.

It was agreed that a letter be sent to Gordon Sargent indicating that the graduate school should study their own bulletin and decide if any existing policies need to be altered as a result of the new graduate school +/- grading system. Saliba and Pedrotti would also raise this issue at meetings of the Graduate Council and the Graduate committee of the College of Arts and Sciences.

It was agreed that the next meeting would be held Sept. 21 at which time wording of the proposed legislation would be finalized in preparation for bringing the resolutions before the full Senate at the October Meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 8:45.

Submitted by Leno Pedrotti.