

3-19-1999

DOC 1999-01 Voluntary Release of Faculty Evaluation Answers

University of Dayton. Student Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_docs

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Student Academic Policies Committee, "DOC 1999-01 Voluntary Release of Faculty Evaluation Answers" (1999). *Senate Documents*. Paper 88.
http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_docs/88

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Senate Documents by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu.

PROPOSAL TO THE ACADEMIC SENATE

TITLE: Voluntary Release of Faculty Evaluation Answers
SUBMITTED BY: Student Academic Policies Committee
DATE: March 19, 1999
ACTION IS: CONSULTATION

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

The Academic Senate supports SGA's efforts to provide a fair representation of students' evaluation of courses. The use of this knowledge is to understand the instructor's teaching and evaluation style, and how previous students have evaluated the instructor. To this end the Academic Senate suggests that faculty consider voluntary release of answers to specific questions on the Student Evaluation of Faculty form presently used.

It is understood that only the results of the evaluation in the same format as received by instructors will be displayed on SGA's Website. There will be no department averages released. There will be no interpretation of results or comments from individual student evaluators. Furthermore, the site will be firewalled from release outside the UD community. This proposal is experimental and applies only to the winter and fall semesters of 1999.

The logistics of the action will involve the following:

1. The Election Committee will distribute a form to all instructors asking for voluntary release of answers to the specific questions listed below. A letter from the President of the Academic Senate will accompany the form. The instructor must agree (by signature) to each course for which data will be released from the winter 1999 Faculty Evaluation. A separate letter will be sent for the fall semester.
2. The Election Committee will coordinate with ACTS as to which courses will be opened to partial release of data as given below.
3. SGA will publish the results on their Website (firewalled).

As requested by SGA and unanimously agreed to by the SAPC, the voluntary release of answers will be to questions:

Demographics: 1,2,3,5

Evaluations: 7,8,9,12,13,14,15,17,20,21

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
STUDENT EVALUATION OF FACULTY

- I. Please supply the following biographical data by marking the appropriate letter on your scan sheet.
- ① Your school: A. Arts & Sciences B. Education C. Business D. Engineering E. Egr. Technology
- ② Your class: A. First Year B. Sophomore C. Junior D. Senior E. Other
- ③ Your sex: A. Male B. Female
4. This course was:
A. Required B. Elective
- ⑤ Your current grade point average:
A. Below 2.00 B. 2.00-2.50 C. 2.51-3.00 D. 3.00-3.50 E. 3.51-4.00
6. The grade I expect in this course is:
A. "A" B. "B" C. "C" D. "D" E. "F"
- II. Please respond to the following questions. If a question does not apply to this course, then disregard it. Mark the appropriate letter on your scan sheet.
- A. Excellent B. Above Avg. C. Average D. Below Avg. E. Poor
- ⑦ Everything considered, how would you rate this course?
- ⑧ Everything considered, how would you rate this instructor?
- III. Please respond to the following by marking the appropriate letter on your scan sheet.
- A. Strongly Agree B. Agree C. Neutral D. Disagree E. Strongly Disagree
- ⑨ I learned a great deal from this course.
10. The instructor prepared well for classes.
11. The instructor spoke clearly and audibly.
- ⑫ The subject matter was clearly presented by the instructor.
- ⑬ The instructor put material across in an interesting way.
- ⑭ Students were able to express themselves freely as a result of the instructor's openness to their ideas.
- ⑮ The instructor was willing to help students who experienced difficulty in the course.
16. The instructor respected students as persons.
- ⑰ The instructor was fair in grading examinations and assignments.
18. The goals and objectives of this course were well defined.
19. This course effectively met these objectives.
- ⑳ This course was well coordinated and well organized.
- ㉑ Supplemental course materials, such as handouts, visual aids, bibliographies, etc., enriched this course.
22. The textbook was an asset to this course.
23. Assignments were relevant to course content.
24. Examinations related well to the material emphasized in the course.
25. Examinations and assignments were graded and returned within a reasonable time to students.
- IV. Please respond to the following by marking the appropriate letter on your scan sheet. A. Yes B. No
26. Was adequate time allowed to complete this evaluation?
27. Was the instructor absent from the classroom while you completed this evaluation?
- V. On the reverse side, please provide any comments (strengths, weaknesses, areas for improvement, etc.) regarding this course and the instructor. You may choose to direct your comments to the appropriate chairperson in a separate, confidential letter.