9-21-2001

Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2001-09-21

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins

Recommended Citation
http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/92

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu.
ACADEMIC POLICIES COMMITTEE

MINUTES

Meeting Time: 8:00 am Sept. 21, 2001
Meeting Place: SM 113
Presiding: James Dunne
Senators Present: Bartlett, Dunne, Erdei, Jukanovic, Johnson, Pedrotti, Saliba, Sargent
Ex Officio Members Present: Palermo

Handouts: James Dunne provided a final draft of the wording of legislation to be put before the Senate regarding impacts of the new +/- grading system on existing University policy. This will be Senate document No. 1-00-16.

1. The minutes of the Sept. 14, 2001 meeting of the APC were approved.

2. Approval of the Proposal to Academic Senate

James Dunne provided the committee with a draft of the legislation regarding the impacts of the new +/- grading system on existing University policy. This proposal is Senate document No. 1-00-16 and is entitled, ‘Undergraduate Academic Policies Affected by the New +/- Grading System.”

The members of the APC approved the final draft of the proposal pending an investigation, prompted by an inquiry from Gordon Sargent, regarding the possible conflict of current special agreements made for accepting transfer credit for students coming to UD from Sinclair Community College with both the current policy of not accepting transfer credit for students with a grade below C and the provision in the proposal changing this policy to not accepting transfer credit for courses with a grade below C-. It was agreed that Joe Saliba would look into possible conflicts and bring them to the attention of the APC before the October meeting of the full Senate. The final draft of the legislation was provisionally approved. It was agreed that unless the possible conflict with special agreements with Sinclair necessitated a change in wording, the wording in the final draft would be used in the proposal to be brought before the full senate at the Oct. 12 meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 8:20.

Submitted by Leno Pedrotti.