University of Dayton

eCommons

Senate Documents Academic Senate

1-1-1998

DOC 1998-03 Official Ballot of the Academic Senate: Amendments to the Constitution of the Academic Senate of the University of Dayton

University of Dayton. Academic Senate

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_docs

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Senate, "DOC 1998-03 Official Ballot of the Academic Senate: Amendments to the Constitution of the Academic Senate of the University of Dayton" (1998). *Senate Documents*. 95.

https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_docs/95

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Senate Documents by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

Official Ballot of The Academic Senate

Amendments to the Constitution of the Academic Senate of the University of Dayton

March 27, 1998

In the Fall of 1997, a faculty committee reviewed the Constitution of the Academic Senate and offered several suggestions for amendments. The Executive Committee of the Academic Senate has considered those suggestions, and has thoroughly reviewed the Constitution itself. It is recommending you vote "For" the following amendments.

To have an amendment approved, the following must occur:

- 1. It must be approved by the Academic Senate.
- 2. Faculty voting must be preceded by discussion at a general Faculty meeting.
- 3. It must be approved by two-thirds of at least a majority of the University Faculty voting by written ballot.
- 4. It must be ratified by the President of the University.
- 5. It must be ratified by the Board of Trustees.

ISSUE

1. <u>Eliminate</u> wording in the Constitution that refers to the Constitution not "infringing upon the administrative responsibilities of current University Committees, Councils, and Boards." (Page 1, Article II. A.)	FOR	AGAINST
2. Require the President and the Board of Trustees of the University to communicate in writing in a timely manner to the Academic Senate when the President or the Board settle a dispute over the interpretation of the Constitution and decide on policies passed by the Senate. (Page 2, Article II. A., B.)	FOR	AGAINST
3. Clarify that it is a vote of tenure-track and tenured faculty only who may overturn Senate actions. (Page 4, Article III. B.)	FOR	AGAINST
4. Clarify the majority needed for faculty voting procedures on academic freedom and tenure. (Page 2. Article II. B.1.c.)	FOR	AGAINST

tenure-track and tenured faculty excluding Assistant and Associate Deans and Assistant and Associate Provosts. (Page 5, Article IV. A.I.)	FOR	L AGAINST
6. Permit <u>instructional</u> <u>staff</u> , i.e., full-time lecturers and persons serving full-time "in-residence" positions, to be elected to the Senate. (Page 5, Article IV. A.I.)	FOR	AGAINST
7. Change elected representation of the Senate to more closely match the current number of faculty and instructional staff and to match current structures so that: a. The College will have 11 representatives (rather than 10) with 5 from the Humanities (at least 1 of whom must be from the Fine Arts), and 3 (rather than 2) from the Social Sciences and 3 from the Natural Sciences (Natural Sciences includes: Biology, Chemistry, Computer Sciences, Mathematics, and Physics). b. The School of Business Administration will have 3 representatives (rather than 4); the School of Education 3 (rather than 2); the School of Engineering 3, and the School of Law will remain at 1. (Page 5, Article IV. A.1.)	FOR	AGAINST
8. Allow <u>part-time faculty</u> to have 1 elected part-time faculty representative on the Senate. (Page 5, Article IV. A.I.)	FOR	AGAINST
9. Add the Dean of the <u>Libraries</u> onto the Senate. (Page 5, Article IV. A.2.)	FOR	AGAINST
10. Allow the Provost to vote in the Academic Senate only to break tie votes. (Page 5, Article IV, A.3.)	FOR	AGAINST
11. <u>Increase student representatives</u> from 6 to 7 and specify that in addition to the other areas, that the Social Sciences and Natural Sciences need both to be represented. (Page 6 , Article IV. A.4.)	FOR	AGAINST
12. Eliminate the never-used Constitutional provisions that say the Academic Affairs Committees of the units shall select an elected Senate representative to serve on the units' Academic Affairs Committee and that the committee will assure 2 student nominees for the Senate. (Page 6, 7, Article IV. B.2, C.4.b.)	FOR	AGAINST

13. Provide <u>procedures</u> for the election of faculty, instructional staff, part-time faculty, and students to the Senate. (Pages 6 & 7, Article IV, B.3, 5, C.5, D.1.)	FOR	AGAINST
14. Eliminate the requirement that the Academic Senate's agenda must be communicated "through appropriate campus media." (Page 8, Article V. A2.)	FOR	AGAINST
15. Require the Academic Senate to use Robert's Rules of Order and a Parliamentarian at its general meetings. (Page 8, Article V. A4.)	FOR	AGAINST
16. Provide that the <u>President of the Senate</u> shall be an elected tenure-track or tenured <u>faculty</u> member rather than the Provost. (Page 9, Article VI. C.2.)	FOR	AGAINST
17. Provide that the President, Vice-President, and Secretary of the Senate (all tenure-track or tenured faculty) be elected by the Senate from the elected members of the Senate's Executive Committee. (Page 9 Article VI. C.3.)	FOR	AGAINST
18. Specify that when representatives on the Executive Committee cannot complete their term of office for whatever reason their replacement will be selected by the Senate in an election. (Page 10, Article IV. E.3.)	FOR	AGAINST
19. Specify that faculty who can vote to amend the Senate Constitution are tenure-track and tenured faculty only. (Page 11, Article VII. B.)	FOR	AGAINST
20. Approve editorial changes including use of inclusive language, clarifying when referring to President of University and President of the Senate, correcting spelling errors.	FOR	AGAINST

University of Dayton Academic Senate

March 27, 1998

Issues Arranged by category

1. Category 1: Representational and Voting Changes

Issues: 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17

2. Category 2: Efficient Operation of the Senate

Issues: 1, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18

3. Clarifications of Intended Meaning

Issues: 2, 3, 4, 19, 20

University of Dayton Academic Senate

March 27, 1998

Note these minor changes to wording that should appear in the Constitution Draft #4 to correspond to ballot:

- 1. Page 5, Article IV. A.1. before the number 1 in the parenthetical phase following the phrase Humanities-five should be the phrase (at least 1).
- 2. Page 9, Article VI. E.2. should read: Vacancies on the Executive Committee shall be filled through elections by the Senate at the initial meeting of each winter term.
- 3. Page 11, Article VII. B. should indicate the proposed change by capitalizing the words: TENURE-TRACK AND TENURED.