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Abstract

The modification of transcriptional regulation has become increasingly appreciated as a major contributor to morphological evolution. However, the role of negative-acting control elements (e.g. silencers) in generating morphological diversity has been generally overlooked relative to positive-acting “enhancer” elements. The highly variable body coloration patterns among Drosophilid insects represents a powerful model system in which the molecular alterations that underlie phenotypic diversity can be defined. In a survey of pigment phenotypes among geographically disparate Japanese populations of Drosophila auraria, we discovered a remarkable degree of variation in male-specific abdominal coloration. In testing the expression patterns of the major pigment-producing enzymes, we found that phenotypes uniquely correlated with differences in the expression of ebony, a gene required for yellow-colored cuticle. Assays of ebony’s transcriptional control region indicated that a lightly pigmented strain harbored cis-regulatory mutations that caused correlated changes in its expression. Through a series of chimeric reporter constructs between light and dark strain alleles, we localized function-altering mutations to a conserved silencer that mediates a male-specific pattern of ebony repression. This suggests that the light allele was derived through the loss of this silencer’s activity. Furthermore, examination of the ebony gene of D. serrata, a close relative of D. auraria which secondarily lost male-specific pigmentation revealed the parallel loss of this silencer element. These results demonstrate how loss-of-function mutations in a silencer element resulted in increased gene expression. We propose that the mutational inactivation of silencer elements may represent a favored path to evolve gene expression, impacting morphological traits.
One of the greatest challenges in understanding the relationship between genotype and phenotype is to discern how changes in DNA affect the normal functioning of genes. Mutations may generate a new function for a gene, yet it is frequently observed that they inactivate some aspect of a gene’s normal capacity. Investigations focused on understanding the developmental basis for the evolution of anatomical structures has found a prevalent role for mutations that alter developmental gene regulation. In animals, genes are transcriptionally activated in specific tissues during development by regulatory sequences distributed across their expansive non-protein coding regions. Regulatory elements known as silencers act to prevent genes from being expressed in certain tissues, providing a mechanism for precise control. Here, we show how a silencer that prevents expression of a pigment-producing enzyme in certain Drosophila species has repeatedly been subject to inactivating mutations that increased this gene’s expression. This example illustrates how such negative-acting regulatory sequences can represent a convenient target for increasing gene expression through the loss of a genetic element.

Introduction

The role of repression in transcriptional regulation dates back to our initial glimpses of its molecular mechanisms [1]. While activating transcriptional control sequences, referred to as “enhancers”, contain binding sites for both activating and repressive transcription factors [2], some repressors act globally within gene loci to prevent the activation of multiple enhancers [3]. Such long-range inputs into gene regulation are contained within negative-acting sequences, often referred to as “silencers” [4]. Our current understanding of silencer function and evolution has lagged far behind that of the positive-acting enhancers. This is, in part, due to the difficulty associated with identifying negatively-acting elements in the expansive non-coding regions surrounding genes.

A growing number of studies have demonstrated how the alteration of gene regulation is critical to the evolution of morphology [5]. Hundreds of examples of gene regulatory sequence evolution have now been identified [6,7], and many of these have been shown to directly affect morphology [8–12]. In particular, many traits within Drosophila have provided a fruitful platform in which to connect phenotypic differences to changes in gene regulatory sequence [13–15]. These cases frequently require the characterization of complex regulatory regions that have multiple enhancer elements. However, published studies of gene regulatory evolution have generally focused on positively-acting enhancers, and have not addressed the role of negative-acting silencers in the evolution of gene expression, or their role in generating phenotypes.

The varied pigment patterns of the Drosophilid abdomen have provided fertile ground for advancing an understanding of gene regulatory evolution and its impact on phenotype [16–21]. The Drosophilid abdomen is divided into flexible segments protected by cuticular plates known as tergites that are secreted by an underlying epithelium. Patterned expression of melanin synthesis enzymes within this epithelium determines the ultimate cuticle pigmentation phenotype. In particular, coordinated expression of the genes yellow, tan, and ebony are broadly associated with pigment patterns across a wide range of species [8,17,19,21].

Previous work on the regulation of ebony in the abdominal epithelium has revealed a complex architecture of enhancers and silencers that govern its patterning [18]. ebony mRNA is specifically restricted from the posterior body segments of Drosophila melanogaster males to promote sexually dimorphic pigmentation. In more anterior body segments, ebony transcripts...
are limited to the anterior portion of each tergite that will produce a yellow color. A positively acting enhancer located 3.7 kb upstream of the transcription start site drives expression throughout the abdomen, a pattern that is ectopic relative to the endogenous pattern of *ebony* mRNA. This ectopic activity is restricted *in vivo* by two silencers. One silencer is located between 1.5 and 1.3 kb upstream of the promoter, and is required for the sexually dimorphic restriction of *ebony* transcripts from the male abdomen. A second silencer element residing within the first intron of *ebony* further restricts activity from the posterior edges of tergites. In a previous study, we demonstrated that both silencer activities were conserved to *D. prostipennis*, a species closely related to *D. melanogaster* [21]. The complex regulatory apparatus of the *ebony* locus stimulates the question of how silencers participate in the evolution of gene regulation.

Here, we explore the genetic basis of intraspecific variation in pigment patterns within the *montium* subgroup species *D. auraria* across Japan, and find that transcriptional silencers play a key role. Despite invariant patterns of *tan* and *yellow* expression, pigmentation patterns in this species correlated with *ebony* gene expression. Examination of the *D. auraria* *ebony* gene revealed that the upstream male-specific silencer element present in *D. melanogaster* is conserved, as it is located in a similar position relative to the *ebony* promoter. By localizing mutations in *cis* to *ebony*, we found that this conserved silencer was mutationally inactivated in a light *D. auraria* strain, an event that we found to be repeated in an additional *montium* subgroup species, *D. serrata*. The parallel inactivation of the same element suggests that the mutational loss of silencer elements may provide a simple and frequently traversed evolutionary path to increases in gene expression.

**Results**

**Intraspecific variation of abdominal pigmentation within *D. auraria* populations from the islands of Japan**

While the degree of female pigmentation is often highly variable within Drosophilidae species [22], variation in male coloration is relatively rare [20,21]. Our examination of *D. auraria* strains from Japan revealed an unexpected diversity of male-specific pigmentation patterns. In the *montium* subgroup, males exhibit reduced pigmentation limited to the single posterior-most tergite, as exemplified by *D. auraria* (Fig 1). Pigmentation in this group is also marked by several parallel losses of melanic color, such as the case of *D. serrata* (Fig 1) [16,21]. Among different *D. auraria* populations, the male phenotype continuously varied from dark individuals in which the entire A6 segment was pigmented to substantially lighter individuals in which dark pigmentation was limited to the posterior edge of the A6 tergite (Fig 2B–2E). The fully pigmented dark phenotype mirrors other closely related outgroups within the *montium* subgroup (Fig 1), supporting the inference that light pigmentation is the derived state within this species. We were next curious how this variation might align with environmental variation.

Many examples of clinal variability in insect pigmentation show trends of correlation between pigmentation and altitude or longitude [23]. In fact, within *D. auraria*, one such cline in female pigmentation was observed across Korea [24]. To determine whether male pigmentation varies clinally, we quantified the average pigmented area of A6 tergites for 29 isofemale lines of *D. auraria* across Japan (Fig 2A and Table 1). There was no significant correlation between this character and altitude, longitude, or latitude (S1 Fig). However, we noted a high degree of variation within each line (Table 1), suggesting that the light phenotype may be quite prevalent among the natural populations. Each isofemale line contains not only the genetic composition of the female that was caught, but also that of one or more males. As isofemale strains are cultured for multiple generations in the lab, this genetic variation would be expected...
Fig 1. The loss and diversification of body pigmentation in males of the montium subgroup. Within the montium subgroup, the pigmentation phenotype of males has been reduced from two tergites (as exemplified by D. melanogaster) to one tergite (as in D. auraria and D. jambulina). D. serrata represents a case in which male-specific pigmentation has been lost.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005279.g001
to drift somewhat randomly. Because of this, we cannot be sure if the average pigmentation of a line is representative of the population from which it was derived. To look at the geographic prevalence of different phenotypes, we assessed the presence or absence of the dark or light phenotype within each line. We observed that lines in which the dark phenotype was present were collected from higher latitudes compared to lines in which this phenotype was absent (logistic regression: \( \chi^2_1 = 7.09, p = 0.0078 \), S1F Fig). This data suggests that the dark phenotype may be maladaptive at lower latitudes. We next set out to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying the observed phenotypic variation within the \( D. auraria \) population.

**Variation in abdominal pigmentation correlates with differences in \textit{ebony} expression**

Three genes, \textit{yellow}, \textit{tan} and \textit{ebony}, are known to play a major role in the patterning of pigment through the enzymatic conversion dopamine derivatives [25–27]. The activity of \textit{Yellow} is required for the production of dark, black pigment while \textit{Ebony} converts dopamine intermediates to yellow-colored sclerotin. \textit{Tan} promotes darker pigments by catalyzing the reciprocal reaction to \textit{Ebony}. To date, these genes have been found to be expressed in a coordinated pattern in which \textit{yellow} and \textit{tan} are co-expressed [17], while \textit{ebony} is expressed in a reciprocal pattern [18,28]. Currently, these genes encode the only enzymes in the pathway known to exhibit...
sharp expression patterns during abdominal development. Previously, it was shown that these correlated and mutually excluded spatial relationships of yellow, tan, and ebony expression are preserved during evolutionary shifts in pigment pattern [8,17,21].

To evaluate how the pigmentation pathway had been modified within D. auraria, we compared the expression of yellow, tan and ebony in strains for which males consistently had dark or light pigmentation. Surprisingly, both yellow and tan expression was indistinguishable among strains, despite striking differences in phenotype. yellow was expressed throughout the A6 segment and in repeated patterns along the posterior edges of each tergite (Fig 3E–3H and S2). Regardless of phenotype, this pattern did not differ between light and dark strains. in situ hybridizations localizing tan revealed expression restricted to the stripe pattern along the posterior edges of tergites, as well as a pattern along the midline of the A6 tergite that strongly foreshadows the pigmentation phenotype of dark strains (Fig 3I–3L and S3). However, this midline pattern of tan also appeared in lighter strains that lack this pigmentation phenotype. Our expression data with tan and yellow provide an example in which these two genes exhibit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Latitude (N)</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>N individuals phenotyped</th>
<th>Light Phenotype Present</th>
<th>Intermediate Phenotype Present</th>
<th>Dark Phenotype Present</th>
<th>Percent of A6 Pigmented</th>
<th>STD DEV (%)</th>
<th>SEM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>35.689506</td>
<td>139.6917</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
<td>7.63%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A541</td>
<td>36.033333</td>
<td>140.066667</td>
<td>22.517</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>9.58%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMM8</td>
<td>32.8031004</td>
<td>130.7078911</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.222</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>9.95%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT14-1</td>
<td>33.774</td>
<td>132.798</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>15.19%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT14-3</td>
<td>33.774</td>
<td>132.798</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>10.56%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT14-7</td>
<td>33.774</td>
<td>132.798</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
<td>10.92%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGN-13</td>
<td>36.717635</td>
<td>138.4920422</td>
<td>1492.811</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>7.02%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGN-27</td>
<td>36.717635</td>
<td>138.4920422</td>
<td>1492.811</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>3.98%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGN11</td>
<td>36.717635</td>
<td>138.4920422</td>
<td>1492.811</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>69.7%</td>
<td>4.53%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR13</td>
<td>43.0564977</td>
<td>141.496356</td>
<td>37.582</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>13.76%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR14</td>
<td>43.0564977</td>
<td>141.496356</td>
<td>37.582</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR15</td>
<td>43.0564977</td>
<td>141.496356</td>
<td>37.582</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>14.54%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA-1</td>
<td>33.263482</td>
<td>130.3008576</td>
<td>7.076</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>5.33%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP11-11</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>8.58%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP11-12</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>8.02%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP11-14</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>11.38%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP11-15</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>11.85%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP96-2</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>16.62%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP24</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>8.58%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP26</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td>13.61%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP36</td>
<td>43.066667</td>
<td>141.35</td>
<td>28.263</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>71.7%</td>
<td>3.91%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUY20</td>
<td>42.565816</td>
<td>140.82375</td>
<td>88.109</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>71.2%</td>
<td>7.72%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUY21</td>
<td>42.565816</td>
<td>140.82375</td>
<td>88.109</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>10.12%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W220-nig</td>
<td>38.2554388</td>
<td>140.3396017</td>
<td>146.097</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSG02</td>
<td>38.3809635</td>
<td>140.2759667</td>
<td>102.778</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>71.6%</td>
<td>11.40%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSG03</td>
<td>38.3809635</td>
<td>140.2759667</td>
<td>102.778</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>6.84%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSG04</td>
<td>38.3809635</td>
<td>140.2759667</td>
<td>102.778</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>15.69%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSG05</td>
<td>38.3809635</td>
<td>140.2759667</td>
<td>102.778</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
<td>14.83%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YSG06</td>
<td>38.71565661</td>
<td>140.3526834</td>
<td>97.4555</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>11.83%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005279.t001
non-overlapping patterns of expression. Further, this represents a rare example in which yellow and tan expression was found to poorly correlate with pigmentation phenotype.

In contrast to yellow and tan, ebony expression correlated well with variation in male tergite pigmentation. Dark strains of *D. auraria* express ebony throughout the abdomen, save for the A6 tergite, in which only the lateral edges broadly accumulate transcript (Fig 3M and 3N). The medial region of A6 lacks ebony transcript, correlating with the dark pigmentation that forms in this region. For light strains, we observed that ebony expression was expanded into the dorsal portion of the A6 tergite in a pattern that correlates with this derived phenotype (Fig 3O and 3P). In variable strains that have mixtures of phenotypes, we observe both light and dark ebony expression phenotypes (S4 Fig). These results are consistent with a role of ebony in patterning the variable pigmentation phenotypes of *D. auraria*. We next set out to characterize the *D. auraria* ebony regulatory region to determine what role it may play in the diversity of *D. auraria* male pigmentation phenotypes.

**A conserved silencer element dictates the absence of ebony expression in male abdominal segments**

The pattern of ebony expression in *D. melanogaster* is controlled by at least three interacting cis-regulatory elements [18]. These regulatory activities are revealed in transgenic reporter
assays when, in isolation, the distal activating enhancer drives strong expression in both endogenous and ectopic abdomen regions [18,29]. The ectopic activity of this enhancer is counterbalanced by a promoter proximal silencer element that prevents expression in the pigmented posterior segments of males (depicted in Fig 4A). Although this upstream enhancer and silencer architecture is conserved within the oriental lineage [21], the full extent of its conservation remains unknown. Our finding that ebony transcript is reduced in the pigmented A6 body

Fig 4. Localization of a conserved silencer in the D. auraria upstream regulatory region. (A) Schematic depicting the upstream regulatory region of ebony from a dark D. auraria strain aligned to the orthologous region of D. melanogaster. Gray boxes connecting D. auraria and D. melanogaster sequences indicate the relative position of perfectly conserved stretches. Positions of the minimal abdominal enhancer and silencer are shown above the D. melanogaster sequence and are based on [18]. Position of the D. auraria silencer element is based upon the truncation constructs shown below the conservation plot. Regions experimentally determined to be required for silencer activity are listed in black, while the region between the enhancer and silencer are shown in a gray gradient, as they may contribute to silencing activity. “A6 midline activity” was measured for each truncation construct, in which the fluorescent intensity of the midline was expressed as the percentage of the lateral A6 intensity ± S.E.M. (B) Activity of the dark (“PM”) strain full ebony upstream regulatory region, which recapitulates the midline repression of ebony observed by in situ hybridization (dotted lines). Dashed boxes indicate representative midline and lateral patches used to quantify midline activity. (C-D) The CD1 truncation construct (C), and the CD2 construct (D) both show midline repression similar to that observed in the full ebony upstream region. (E) The CD3 truncation construct shows uniform expression of GFP across the A6 body segment, reflecting the elimination of sequences required for repression.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005279.g004
segment of *D. auraria* males raised the possibility that a conserved mechanism governs the dimorphic expression of this gene.

We cloned the entire upstream region of *ebony* from a dark strain of *D. auraria* ("PM", Fig 3A) into a green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporter vector (Fig 4A and S5 Fig). This reporter construct, which included the region orthologous to the abdominal enhancer and the male-specific silencing element was tested in transgenic *D. melanogaster*. We found that the reporter transgene’s expression precisely recapitulated the lateral pattern of *ebony* expression observed in the A6 tergites of dark *D. auraria* males (compare Fig 4B to Fig 3M). To determine if an orthologous male-specific silencer sculpts this pattern in *D. auraria*, we tested a series of truncations that would remove this potential silencer, leaving behind only sequences orthologous to the activating enhancer (Fig 4A). The first truncation had no effect on the lateral pattern of expression (Fig 4C). A larger truncation, CD2 resulted in marginally reduced, but visible repression along the dorsal midline (Fig 4D). The third truncation, which removed all sequences orthologous to the *D. melanogaster* male-specific silencer, resulted in a nearly complete elimination of midline repression (Fig 4E). These results establish the ancestrally conserved regulatory architecture at *ebony*, in which a conserved silencer collaborates with a pan-abdomen activating enhancer to sculpt out zones of contrast in expression.

**cis-regulatory changes at *ebony* inactivated the male-specific silencer in a light *D. auraria* strain**

The presence of a conserved silencer in the *ebony* upstream region that controls the midline repression of *ebony* in the A6 segment raised the intriguing possibility that this silencer may have been inactivated in light strains. To compare the function of *ebony* regulatory sequences between light and dark strains, we cloned the orthologous upstream region of *ebony* from a light strain ("00", Fig 3D) into our transgenic reporter system. The light and dark strains differed by several sequence polymorphisms, including a large insertion/deletion of repetitive sequence near the activating enhancer that is absent in the light strain sequence (Fig 5A). We inserted a transgenic construct containing the light strain’s *ebony* upstream region into the same genomic landing site that was used for our tests of the dark strain sequence. Although the light and dark strains differed slightly in activity in the more anterior A4 body segment (Fig 5G), the light strain’s regulatory region recapitulated its expanded expression throughout the A6 tergite relative to the dark strain (Fig 5C). From this, we conclude that the observed differences in *ebony* expression between light and dark *D. auraria* strains are due to *cis*-regulatory mutations.

Next, we were curious whether the mutations in the *ebony* upstream region were localized to the known activating or silencing CREs, or outside of these defined activities. To directly compare the activities of the abdominal enhancer region between light and dark strains, we cloned the CD3 truncation from the light strain construct (Fig 5A). As expected, this region drove expression throughout the A6 tergite (Fig 5F). The light and dark CD3 constructs subtly differed (by 13%) in the intensity of expression driven in the A4 body segment (Fig 5H). These data indicate that some differences may exist in the abdominal enhancer between the light and dark strains.

To localize the mutations responsible for differences in A6 midline repression between light and dark strains, we generated a series of chimeric reporter constructs in which a segment of the dark or light strain *ebony* upstream region was replaced with the analogous segment from the other strain (Fig 5A). Among these chimeric reporters, the phenotype of expression was dictated by which allele was present at the promoter-proximal silencer element. This is exemplified by the phenotypes of two constructs (Fig 5A), in which a 2.2 kb promoter proximal...
Fig 5. Changes in cis to the upstream silencer element of *ebony* are responsible for a difference in *ebony* expression between strains. (A) Comparison of the DNA sequence of the light and dark strains of *ebony*, as in Fig 4A. Schematics of GFP reporter constructs that pinpoint changes to the silencer of *ebony* are shown above and below the conservation plot. Chimeras appearing below the dark strain are drawn relative to the dark strain due to the
presence of a large insertion in this strain. Similarly, chimeras above the light strain are drawn relative to the light strain due to the lack of this repetitive region. A6 midline activity was calculated using the same method described in Fig 4. The act (D) + sil (serrata) construct in (A) contains the 1kb silencer region of D. serrata placed in the context of the dark D. auraria strain ebony upstream regulatory region (Fig 6C). (B) Activity of the dark (“PM”) strain ebony upstream regulatory region, containing both activating enhancer and silencer region. Expression is specifically reduced in the dorsal midline. (C) Activity of the light (“00”) strain ebony upstream regulatory region, orthologous to that used for the dark strain. This reporter construct shows a marked increase in midline activity, recapitulating the ebony expression phenotype of this strain. (D) Chimeric reporter containing the light strain activating enhancer fused to the dark strain upstream silencer element. This reporter construct shows midline repression similar to the dark strain. (E) Chimeric reporter containing the dark strain activating enhancer fused to the light strain upstream silencer region, which recapitulates the light strain activity. (F) The CD3 truncation of the light strain reporter drives expression throughout the A6 segment, similar to the same truncation of the dark strain. (G) Graph comparing the relative activity of the Dark and Light strain Full ebony upstream reporter activity in the A4 body segment, a region unaffected by silencer activity. (H) Graph comparing the relative activity of the Dark and Light strain CD3 truncation constructs in the A4 body segment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005279.g005

fragment containing the silencer could switch activity from the dark expression phenotype to the light phenotype or vice versa (Fig 5D and 5E). Chimeric reporters that contained larger segments surrounding the silencer element similarly displayed the coinciding phenotype of the allele present at the silencer element (Fig 5A and S6 Fig). However the degree of male repression quantitatively differed in subtle, but repeatable ways. Specifically, chimeras whose breakpoints were located at the center of the upstream region displayed more intermediate repression phenotypes (“act(L) + sil (D) #2”, “act(D) + sil(L) #2 Fig 5A and S6 Fig). This suggests the presence of mutations in the light strain sequence that can enhance repression but are context dependent. Overall, these observations establish that the increase in ebony expression in the light strain occurred primarily through mutations affecting the ebony male-specific silencer element.

The parallel loss of the ebony male-specific silencer function accompanied the loss of pigmentation in D. serrata

The inactivation of existing functional elements is often a favored mechanism during evolutionary change [30]. We were therefore curious whether a similar evolutionary path marked parallel alterations in pigmentation. Drosophila serrata is a montium subgroup species that has secondarily lost male-specific pigmentation (Fig 1). Examination of ebony expression in D. serrata males revealed that four independent lines exhibited broad expression throughout the posterior segments (Fig 6A and S7 Fig). To test whether this alteration in ebony expression in D. serrata was due to cis-regulatory mutations in the gene, we cloned its orthologous upstream region including the activating enhancer and promoter-proximal silencer into our transgenic reporter system (S5 Fig). Consistent with a cis-regulatory basis for this expression phenotype, the ebony upstream reporter recapitulated the endogenous D. serrata ebony expression pattern (Fig 6B). To test whether this was indeed due to a mechanism similar to that observed in D. auraria, we replaced a 1 kb segment containing the male-specific silencer of the D. auraria dark strain with orthologous sequences from D. serrata (Fig 5A, “act(D) + sil(serrata)”)). This chimeric construct drove expression throughout the A6 body segment similar to that of the full D. serrata ebony sequence (compare Fig 6C to 6B), confirming the parallel inactivation of this silencer element.

Discussion

Here, we have shown how a transcriptional silencer that participates in the sexually dimorphic patterning of gene expression has experienced repeated inactivation events that increased its target gene’s expression (Fig 7). Despite highly varied male pigmentation phenotypes in D. auraria, two genes that often correlate with pigment pattern (yellow and tan) were expressed similarly among light and dark strains. In contrast, ebony expression uniquely correlated with pigmentation, and we showed that the correlation between expression and pigmentation
Fig 6. Parallel inactivation of the ebony upstream silencer in D. serrata. (A) Expression of ebony in the abdomen of a D. serrata male (UCSD “03” strain). (B) The entire upstream region of D. serrata ebony fused into a GFP reporter construct recapitulates the broad activation of ebony throughout the male posterior abdomen. (C) Chimeric reporter in which a 1 kb segment containing the D. serrata upstream silencer region was placed into the D. auraria dark strain reporter construct. This reporter lacks midline repression, indicating that the D. serrata upstream silencer was inactivated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005279.g006

Fig 7. Model for the parallel loss of the ebony upstream silencer element. Within the ancestral ebony gene, a silencer element evolved that adopted a repressive role in the male posterior abdomen. Within the montium subgroup, this silencer’s activity was modified through changes in cis that altered the silencer’s spatial domain of activity. Within D. serrata, this silencer was inactivated, leading to increased ebony expression. In contrast, this silencer was maintained in the species D. auraria, in which intraspecific variation exists for an allele that inactivated this element.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005279.g007
phenotypes were due to cis-regulatory mutations within ebony. Mapping the ebony regulatory region of D. auraria established the conservation of a silencer element with male-specific activity that carves out sexually dimorphic expression from a ubiquitously activating enhancer. Within this conserved silencer, we localized functional changes responsible for allelic differences in expression. Moreover, we showed that the secondary loss of male-specific pigmentation in D. serrata occurred through the parallel inactivation of this upstream silencer element of ebony (Fig 7). These findings highlight the under-appreciated role of silencers in the evolution of gene expression and morphology. We briefly discuss the implications of these results within a growing body of knowledge regarding the evolution of gene regulation.

Potential causes for variable pigmentation in D. auraria

While many differences in female-specific or body-wide pigmentation have been described among Drosophilids [20,22,31,32], examples of variation in male-specific pigmentation are comparably lacking. Intriguingly, a clear cline exists for D. auraria females in Korea, and yet the pigmentation phenotypes of males in this population were reported to be invariant [24]. From our survey across Japan, a distinct trend emerged in which females were relatively invariant, while males exhibited a remarkable degree of variability. Several adaptive mechanisms have been invoked to explain phenotypic variation in pigmentation (e.g. thermoregulation [33], desiccation resistance [34,35], UV resistance [36]). However, examples that contradict these trends highlight how pigmentation does not confer a “one size fits all” universal benefit [37,38]. Our tests for geographical correlations failed to support a traditional cline, though this may have been hampered by the small number of lines tested and the high degree of phenotypic variation within each line (Table 1). Indeed, when we controlled for intra-line variation by characterizing the range of phenotypes contained within, we found that lines bearing the dark phenotype occurred at higher latitudes than those lacking this phenotype (SI Fig). This result follows the general trend of latitudinal clines for pigmentation [39,40], and suggests that the dark phenotype may be maladaptive at lower latitudes. Given the high degree of variation present within the population, a further examination of wild-caught males, possibly taking into account other factors such as seasonal variation or habitat structure may reveal forces that have shaped the phenotypic variation observed within this species.

The uncoordinated pigmentation gene network of D. auraria

The coordinated evolution of pigmentation enzyme expression has become the rule, rather than the exception with Drosophila coloration phenotypes [8,17,21,28,41]. Across the abdomen, expression of yellow and tan are highly correlated [17,21], while ebony is typically anti-correlated with these two [18,21]. Our data on the variable pigmentation of D. auraria provides an exception to this rule in which both tan and yellow are expressed in the A6 tergite, regardless of the pigmentation phenotype (Fig 3). Indeed, these two genes show consistent discord in their expression patterns, as yellow is expressed throughout A6 in contrast to the highly patterned expression of tan in the dorsal portion of the tergite. An additional layer of uncoordinated expression is introduced by ebony, which is deployed in the same cells as yellow and tan in strains that display the light phenotype. The lack of correlation of tan and yellow with pigmentation (Fig 3), and the inability of ebony mis-expression to erase all dark pigmentation in D. melanogaster in isolation [28] suggests that additional genes likely contribute to the variation we have observed in D. auraria.

Previously, we showed how a recent interspecific expansion of pigmentation involved coordinated changes in yellow, tan, and ebony [21]. In this example, the yellow gene was shown to have evolved an expanded pattern in cis, independent of tan and ebony, whose expression
differences were due to changes in one or more upstream factors. Considering these results in light of our current findings, the structural genes of the *Drosophila* pigmentation gene network appear to quite readily evolve new domains of expression independent of one another.

**Loss, gain, and bias in the evolution of gene regulatory elements**

An emerging theme from endeavors to connect genotype to phenotype is a bias towards certain molecular paths of evolution [6,42]. An ever-growing body of work has established that changes in gene regulation represent a commonly traversed path during the evolution of morphological differences [5,7]. It is thought that the specific genes that contribute to phenotypic variation may also be biased, due to their positions within networks [7]. This trend extends to the *Drosophila* pigmentation system, as *yellow*, *tan*, and *ebony* have been repeatedly implicated in cases of phenotypic evolution [8,16–19,21,31,43,44]. One common source of genetic bias is the tendency for loss rather than gain of genetic elements [6,30,42,45]. This is thought to be due mainly to mutational target size, as there are many more possible mutations that would inactivate a genetic element than those which would build a new one [42]. Consequently, if a phenotype can be achieved through inactivation, there will undoubtedly be many more possible degenerative routes than constructive ones. The present work highlights how the trend of loss extends to negative acting gene regulatory elements whose inactivation will increase gene expression.

Several examples of morphological evolution have been linked to the loss of transcriptional activating enhancer elements [9,13,17,43]. A striking example is that of the *shavenbaby* gene, in which multiple enhancers that contribute to its larval denticle patterning function were inactivated [13]. Despite the existence of many other loci that could contribute to this trait, some of these exact same elements were inactivated in an independent case of trichome loss, suggesting bias in the genetic path of evolution of this particular trait [46]. Because gene regulatory regions are subdivided into modular subunits that act relatively independently, the loss of an enhancer’s activity should be minimally pleiotropic, affecting only one or a small number of tissues. Similarly, the pleiotropic consequences of inactivating a single silencer element are predicted to be minimal, as effects will be limited to tissues where the silencer is actively suppressing an enhancer’s activity.

While the existence of long-range repressors in metazoan regulatory architecture has been appreciated for nearly three decades [4,47], their identification and characterization has lagged behind that of the enhancer elements that activate transcription. This disparity is almost certainly due to the way regulatory elements are experimentally characterized by fusing small (generally less than 10kb) overlapping fragments of potential regulatory DNA to reporter genes, and monitoring activity in the tissue of interest [29]. However, such tests will be at a disadvantage to uncover more complex relationships between elements, including insulators [48,49], promoter-tethering elements [50,51], and silencers [4,47]. As such, it is almost a certainty that many silencers have gone unnoticed within well-characterized regulatory regions. For example, ectopic activity is frequently observed when enhancers are trimmed down to minimal elements [52,53]. In the *ebony* regulatory region, ectopic activity of our reporter transgenes was conspicuous, given the large size of the adult abdominal epithelium and the sharply contrasting patterns of expression that we observed *in vivo* [18]. This stimulated a more comprehensive search of the locus for negative-acting elements.

The complexity of regulatory architecture at *ebony* lends itself well to disentangling the interplay of gain and loss of regulatory inputs during evolution. For example, our previous study of intraspecific variation at the *ebony* gene revealed a gain-of-function mutation that caused reduced expression in *D. melanogaster* [18]. Of five function-altering substitutions that
reduced expression in a high altitude population, we observed that the largest effect substitu-
tion paradoxically resided outside of the activating enhancer for the abdomen. This contradic-
tion was reconciled by an experiment in which the altered residue was deleted, leading to a
marked recovery of enhancer activity. As we obtain a more detailed understanding of the
molecular events underlying gene regulatory evolution, the distinction between loss and gain
of function at the molecular versus genetic levels will become more frequently resolved. This is
a crucial step in interpreting and predicting how regulatory variants influence phenotype.

Methods
Fly lines and husbandry
Fly stocks were maintained on standard media at room temperature. Isofemale lines of D. aur-
aria were collected in hanging traps and GPS coordinates of the collection site were recorded.
See Table 1 and S1 Table for a list of species and strains used in this study.

Quantification of strain pigmentation
Strain pigmentation phenotypes were measured by collecting flies without CO₂ anesthetization
on the day of eclosion and aging 5 days at 25°C to normalize cuticular tanning. We then anes-
ethetized aged flies, mounted the adult abdomens to double-sided sticky tape on slides, and
imaged the abdomen using standard settings on a Leica M205 microscope. Images were quanti-
fied using the ImageJ program [54] to measure the area of the tergite that was pigmented,
divided by the total area of the tergite, yielding a percent pigmentation score. The relationship
between strain pigmentation and latitude, longitude, and altitude was analyzed by nominal
logistic regression.

in situ hybridizations

in situ hybridization was performed as previously described [17]. Templates for probes were
cloned into the pGEM vector, and PCR amplified to contain an Sp6 or T7 promoter present in
the pGEM multiple cloning site (see S2 Table for probe primers used in this study). in vitro
transcription of probes was performed using a 10X Dig labeling mix (Roche Diagnostics) in
combination with T7 or Sp6 RNA polymerase (Promega). Pupal samples were aged to differing
extents for each probe (75–90 hours after pupal formation (hAPF) for yellow, 85–95 hAPF for
tan, and at eclosion for ebony), dissected in cold PBS, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (E.M.
S. Scientific). All in situ hybridizations were performed using an Insitu Pro VSI robot (Intavis
Bioanalytical Instruments).

Transgenic reporter constructs
Fragments of the ebony gene were PCR amplified from genomic DNA using the primers pre-
sented in S3 Table. The light and dark strain constructs were amplified from the “00” and
“PM” stocks (Fig 3 and S1 Table), while the D. serrata reporter was cloned from the UCSD
“03” strain (S1 Table). Chimeric constructs were generated by overlap extension PCR to fuse
light and dark strain non-coding regions together. PCR products were cloned via appended
restriction sites into the S3aG vector [15], which contains a minimal promoter driving
enhanced nuclear GFP, flanked by gypsy and Sfb insulators. The S3aG vector contains an attB
site for site-specific integration into the genome [55]. Transgene constructs were inserted into
the 51D landing site on the second chromosome [55] by Rainbow Transgenics. Multiple inde-
dependent lines were analyzed for each construct.
Homozygous transformants were aged for 8–9 hours post-eclosion to maximize the signal to noise ratio of abdominal expression, as weak expression occurs in the abdomen during pupal development. Abdomens were mounted on slides in halocarbon oil, and imaged on an Olympus Fluoview 1000 confocal microscope using standardized non-saturated settings. Multiple images per line were acquired, and the degree of A6 midline expression was quantified by measuring the average intensity of a 50x50 pixel square at three positions along the A6 tergite (left, right, middle). The intensity value of the midline was divided by the average of the left and right side measurements.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Intraspecific variation in D. auraria pigmentation does not follow a traditional geographical cline. (A-C) Correlation of A6 pigmentation, expressed as the percent of the tergite pigmented, with latitude (A), longitude (B), and altitude (C). (D-F) Analysis latitude, taking into account variation within lines. Isofemale lines were scored based on whether the light (D), intermediate (E), or dark (F) phenotype was absent or present, and the average latitude of these lines were calculated. For the dark phenotype (Panel F), a significant difference in average latitude was detected (* logistical regression: \( \lambda^2_{1} = 7.09, p = 0.0078 \)).

(TIF)

S2 Fig. yellow is expressed similarly in lines that contain the light or dark phenotype. in situ hybridization with a probe to yellow reveals similar patterns of yellow mRNA accumulation between strains containing light (and intermediate) phenotypes compared to those containing dark (and intermediate) phenotypes.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. tan is expressed similarly in lines that exhibit the light or dark phenotype. in situ hybridization with a tan probe reveals a similar pattern of mRNA accumulation among strains that contain either the light (and intermediate) or dark (and intermediate) abdominal pigmentation phenotypes.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Variable ebony expression in lines that contain a mixture of light and dark pigmentation phenotypes. in situ hybridization with an ebony probe reveals lines containing a mixture of ebony expression phenotypes that either match the dark strain phenotype (i.e. reduced midline expression), or the light strain phenotype (uniform expression throughout the anterior portion of the A6 tergite).

(TIF)

S5 Fig. Isolation of the ebony upstream region from montium subgroup species. Lines connecting sequences represent conserved sequence blocks of 12 bp or more shared between the species. The difference in length between dark and light auraria strains is caused by a repetitive sequence present in the dark strain sequence.

(TIF)

S6 Fig. Chimeric constructs localize causative changes to the conserved promoter-proximal silencer region. Transgenic reporter lines were imaged 8–10 hours post-eclosion. A6 midline activity ± S.E.M. is shown in the lower-right corner.

(TIF)

S7 Fig. The expansion of ebony expression is fixed among males in D. serrata strains. (top) Male phenotypes of D. serrata strains from the UCSD stock center. (bottom) in situ
hybridization to ebony reveals gene expression throughout the posterior body segment that has lost pigmentation. Additionally, the extent of ebony mRNA in the posterior portion of each tergite matches pigment stripe phenotype for each strain.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Additional strains used in this study.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Primers for in situ hybridization probes.

(DOCX)

S3 Table. Primers for constructing reporter constructs, including chimeric reporters. Lowercase letters represent appended restriction sites for Asc I (Primer F) and Sbf I (Primer R). Primers for chimeras list the forward and reverse primers used in combination with the D. auraria ebony upstream primers (Primer-F and Primer-R) to generate two or more fragments that were fused together using overlap extension PCR.

(DOCX)
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