

11-17-2006

Student Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2006-11-03

University of Dayton. Student Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Student Academic Policies Committee, "Student Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2006-11-03" (2006). *All Committee Minutes*. Paper 144.
http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/144

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu.

Student Academic Policies Committee of the Academic Senate

Minutes from the meeting of November 03, 2006

Approved 11/17/06

Present: Dale Courte, Andrew Fist, Wade Lockett, Carl Chen, George DeMarco

A quorum was not present.

Minutes of the Oct. 20, 2006 meeting were review, but could not be formally approved without a quorum.

Further discussion of the proposal for a University Promotion and Tenure committee occurred. Some particulars of the document were clarified for senators who were not present for the previous discussion. The role of SAPAS in the review of this document was also clarified. The concerns articulated in the minutes from Oct. 20th were reviewed and discussed.

Discussion turned to the development of the Honor Code. Outlines for the Statement of Purpose (thanks to Andrew Fist) and description of the proposed Honor Board (thanks to Mark Brill) were discussed and those present endorsed the basic nature of those documents as good starting points. Andrew Fist will head up the effort to flesh out the text of the Statement of Purpose. It was agreed that discussion of the Honor Board proposal would resume at the next meeting.

Lastly, the discussion of the "Habits of Inquiry..." document began with a brief discussion about the charge given the Senate regarding the first portion of the document. There was some discussion as to the purpose of the document, and whether it was intended as an internal or external document. It was stated that in the open forum provided to the School of Business, the document was described as an internal document. All present agreed to give the document further scrutiny and resume discussion at the next meeting.