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Abstract: In early 2015 several Hindu nationalist leaders in India have called for a national law against forcible or induced conversion. Laws against “forcible conversion” have been proposed and enacted in an increasing number of Indian states in recent years. Some laws include higher penalties for conversions of lower castes or women, reinforcing paternalistic assumptions that they lack the agency or ability to determine their own religion. Based on their timing, anti-conversion laws seem to be politically motivated, used to rally the Hindu majority during elections by playing on fears of their declining numbers and potential threats of mass conversions. Both proponents and critics of these laws make religious freedom arguments.

India’s 1950 constitution, still in effect today, emerged from heated debates in the Constituent Assembly (1946-1950) about conversion rights and religious freedoms, against the backdrop of the developing Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Despite India’s substantial constitutional protections for religious freedoms and longstanding commitment to religious pluralism, recent UN rapporteurs on religious freedom have critiqued state-level laws restricting conversions and periodic persecution of religious minorities. It may be an easier political project to protect the rights of discrete minority groups (difficult as this sometimes is) than to protect the rights of people to change groups.

Scholars have increasingly recognized that religions and religious communities are dynamic rather than static; yet religious rights frameworks seem to prioritize preservation rather than change. Some advocates of religious freedom privilege the maintenance of religious traditions and communities. This emphasis can make conversion a threat to freedom rather than central to freedom. While presenting my research on current legal challenges to conversion in India, I hope to get feedback from the scholars and practitioners at the Dayton conference on an alternative conceptualization of religious rights that encompasses both the right to remain and the right to change.
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