

University of Dayton Law Review

Volume 7 | Number 1

Article 1

1981

Front Matter, Volume 7, Number 1 (1982)

University of Dayton

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton (1981) "Front Matter, Volume 7, Number 1 (1982)," *University of Dayton Law Review*. Vol. 7: No. 1, Article 1.

Available at: <https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr/vol7/iss1/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Dayton Law Review by an authorized editor of eCommons. For more information, please contact mschlange1@udayton.edu, ecommons@udayton.edu.

**UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON
LAW REVIEW**

VOLUME 7

1981-1982

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 7

FALL 1981

NUMBER 1

ARTICLES

- “VOLUNTARY” COMMITMENT OF MENTALLY ILL OR RETARDED CHILDREN: CHILD ABUSE BY THE SUPREME COURT 1
Allen Edward Schoenberger
- JUDICIAL ATTITUDES TOWARD SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE OF CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS 33
Eliot L. Axelrod
- THE PARADOXICAL ROLE OF INFORMERS WITHIN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM: A UNIQUE PERSPECTIVE 51
David Katz

COMMENTS

- FEDERAL ANTITRUST, ECONOMIC FREEDOM, AND THE UNCERTAIN CONDUCT REQUIREMENT IN SECTION 2 OF THE SHERMAN ANTI-TRUST ACT: TOWARD A MORE OBJECTIVE APPROACH 73
- A PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM OF VIOLENT ACTS BY PARTICIPANTS DURING PROFESSIONAL SPORTING EVENTS: THE SPORTS VIOLENCE ACT OF 1980 91

NOTES

- HEARSAY EVIDENCE: INCUHPATORY DECLARATIONS AGAINST PENAL INTEREST AND FEDERAL RULE OF EVIDENCE 804(b)(3)—UNITED STATES V. SARMIENTO-PEREZ, 663 F.2d 1992 (5th Cir. 1981) 113
- EVIDENCE: THE GOOD FAITH EXCEPTION TO THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE—*United States v. Williams*, 622 F.2d 830 (5th Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 101 S.Ct. 946 (1981) 125
- TORT DAMAGES: THE ADJUSTMENT OF AWARDS FOR LOST FUTURE EARNING CAPACITY TO COMPENSATE FOR INFLATION AND INCREASED PRODUCTIVITY: *Kaczkowski v. Bolubasz*, 491 Pa. 561, 421 A.2d 1027 (1980) 139
- PATENT LAW: PATENTABILITY OF A PROCESS THAT INCLUDES A PROGRAMMED DIGITAL COMPUTER: THE COURT INVENTS A NEW STANDARD—*Diamond v. Diehr*, 101 S. Ct. 1048 (1981) 157
- SECURITIES LAW: THE EXCLUSIVITY OF THE PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE 1934 ACT AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO RULE 10b-5, *Ross v. A. H. Robins*, 607 F.2d 545 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 446 U.S. 946 (1979) 169

DOMESTIC RELATIONS: RECOGNITION OF WIFE'S INTEREST IN PROFESSIONAL DEGREE EARNED BY HUSBAND DURING MARRIAGE— <i>Hubbard v. Hubbard</i> , 603 P.2d 747 (Okla. 1979)	183
EVIDENCIARY PRIVILEGE: HELP FOR CORPORATIONS? THE SUPREME COURT REJECTS THE CONTROL GROUP TEST; STRENGTHENS THE WORK PRODUCT DOCTRINE— <i>Upjohn Co. v. United States</i> , 449 U.S. 383 (1981)	195
CHILD CUSTODY: SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TOWARD CHILDREN OR PROCEDURAL PURITY FOR PARENTS?— <i>Pasqualone v. Pasqualone</i> , 63 Ohio St.2d 96, 406 N.E. 2d 1121 (1980)	217

ANALYSES OF SELECTED OHIO LEGISLATION

H.B. 716: CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CHROMIUM AND ASBESTOS INJURY ARISES UPON DISCOVERY OF INJURY	231
S.B. 174: OHIO DEFINES A NEW STANDARD OF CARE FOR CORPORATE DIRECTORS	241
S.B. 165: COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE IN OHIO	257
H.B. 695: UPDATING OHIO'S TEMPORARY AND PERMANENT CUSTODY PROCEDURES FOR CHILD ABUSE, NEGLECT AND DEPENDENCY CASES	293