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Approved
Minutes of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate
March 19, 2012
St. Mary’s Hall Room 113B

Present: Paul Benson, Corinne Daprano, George Doyle, Jesse Grewal, Jonathan Hess, Emily Hicks, Antonio Mari, Leno Pedrotti, Carolyn Phelps, Joseph Saliba, Andrea Seielstad, Rebecca Wells

Absent: None

Guests: Jim Farrelly, Kevin Kelly

Opening Meditation: Leno Pedrotti opened the meeting with a meditation.

Minutes: The minutes of the March 12, 2012 ECAS meeting were approved.

Announcements: The next meeting of ECAS is March 26, 2012 from 1:30-3:00 PM in SM 113B.

Old business
Judicial Review Committee (JRC). J. Hess reported that he spoke with Bill Fischer about ECAS’s decision on the JRC composition issue. B. Fischer followed up with Debra Monk and both of them felt this was a good solution for meeting both Student Development’s concerns and ECAS’.

New business
SOEAP potential name change. Kevin Kelly, SOEAP Dean, reviewed a proposal to change the name of the School of Education & Allied Professions to School of Education & Health Sciences. After a review of the proposal ECAS discussed various aspects of the proposal.

J. Farrelly indicated that the proposed name change will need legislative authority from the ASenate. C. Daprano asked about the process for consulting SOEAP faculty about the proposed name change. K. Kelly indicated he had spoken to each SOEAP department about the name change. He also indicated he would attempt to meet with the Health & Sport Science Department this week to further discuss the name change. J. Farrelly asked about the pressure to change the name of the SOEAP by July 1.

J. Grewal asked if current students had been given a chance to give their input on the proposed name change. K. Kelly indicated that there had not been a formal effort to consult with students since he wanted to consult with SOEAP faculty and colleagues in CAS before speaking to students.

G. Doyle objected to the idea that the term “professions” has a vocational emphasis.

A. Mari asked if it makes sense to split the SOEAP into two schools instead of combining two disparate fields (education and health sciences) under one school. K. Kelly indicated that currently there are no plans to shift or reallocate resources in order to create two separate Schools.

R. Wells asked if Enrollment Management had done a market analysis of the proposed name change. In addition, she questioned whether or not the proposed name change was intended to differentiate the programs in the SOEAP from UD’s competitors. J. Saliba indicated that the objective was not to be distinctive but to allow potential students an easier way to find the DTP and related programs.

ECAS agreed to hold further discussion of the proposal until further consultation with the SOEAP faculty occurs.
Notification of Approved Senate Documents. J. Hess reviewed a draft email that was created by J. Saliba so that UD faculty would be notified of approved ASenate documents as well as the process for petitioning to challenge approved documents. J. Farrelly and others requested that the text in the email notice regarding the petition process be removed. Other members of ECAS voiced support for leaving the language in the email.

J. Saliba suggested leaving the language in the email and adding additional language that would describe the difference between documents that require legislative authority versus those that require concurrence. E. Hicks suggested also citing the section of the ASenate constitution that references the petition process.

April ASenate meeting(s). J. Hess discussed the possibility of adding a second meeting in April to the ASenate schedule in order to complete the current ASenate’s work for the academic year (AY). If work needs to be done this year but isn’t ready by the April 13 meeting then the ASenate could also meet on April 27. ECAS then discussed possible agenda items for the April meetings.

It was determined that, at the least, the following items needed to be on an April agenda. These items include the: 1) ASenate Composition committee report; 2) SIP (Industrial Park) update; and, 3) revisions to Senate DOC 07-05. The following proposals might also need to be included on the April agenda: 1) Faculty Workload guidelines; and, 2) Outside Employment policy for faculty.

J. Saliba indicated that if the Outside Employment policy revisions weren’t ready by the end of the AY we will have to use the existing staff policy for staff and faculty. A. Seielstad summarized the concerns expressed over the proposed faculty policy by members of the FAC. R. Wells indicated that the revised policy seems to be overly burdensome. She also indicated that the terms conflict of interest, conflict of commitment, and non-compete needed to be more clearly defined in the policy. J. Saliba reiterated that all universities have these Outside Employment policies in place and that UD’s current policy is problematic. J. Farrelly also agreed that the revised policy seemed overly burdensome and would create problems with UD faculty.

C. Phelps asked what legal problems were created by the existing document. She asked if the FAC and ECAS could find out what those problems are so the ASenate doesn’t rush putting into place a policy that doesn’t address all these legal concerns. R. Wells volunteered to work directly with legal affairs to address these legal concerns.

J. Hess will ask the FAC to: 1) consult with the appropriate people to identify the specific legal liabilities of the existing policy so these can be addressed in the revised policy; and, if necessary, 2) create a one year patch of the existing policy to address some immediate concerns but allow the FAC to work on further revisions next year.

ECAS agreed to add a second April meeting on April 27 this year in case it was needed to finish the ASenate’s work for this AY.

Student Government Association (SGA) Elections. J. Grewal, J. Farrelly, and J. Hess will meet to discuss what happens if SGA doesn’t have new senators elected and in place for the last ASenate meeting of the academic year in April.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:00 PM.
Respectfully submitted by Corinne Daprano
Standing committee work assignments. Below is an updated list of assigned standing committee tasks:

**Task N/C Prev To Work due Due**
- *Consultation issue C ECAS ECAS Work to resolve issues ??
- *Voting representation N Ad hoc Report and proposal Feb. 29
- Faculty workload N FAC Report and proposal Mar. 2
- Policy on outside employ N FAC Proposal ??
- Committee membership C UNRC UNRC Complete the list April 2
- Procedure clarification N APC Proc. for dept. change April

**Tasks not yet assigned N/C Prev To Work due Due**

**Tasks ongoing N/C Prev To Work due**
- Oversight of CAP dev N APC Hear monthly reports

**Tasks completed by cmte N/C Prev To Work due Due**

**CAPC voting rights N APC Offer recommendation Aug. 30**
- Academic misconduct C ECAS S/APC Develop form Sept. 27
- Intellectual property rights C FAC FAC Proposal Nov. 8
- Titles/emeritus C FAC FAC Proposal Nov. 8
- Launch voting rights cmte N ECAS Proposal Feb. 29
- PA proposal N APC Review Nov.
- *Faculty evaluation (SET) C FAC ECAS Purpose of eval (revision)
- Academic misconduct C APC S/APC Develop instructions
- *UNRC policy doc C UNRC ECAS Review final document
- UDPPP proposal C APC APC Review Appendix A
- GLC docs (3) N APC Review ??
- Student honor code N SAPC Review for issues ??