

10-20-2017

## Academic Policies Committee of the Academic Senate 2017-10-20

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins](https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins)

---

### Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee, "Academic Policies Committee of the Academic Senate 2017-10-20" (2017). *All Committee Minutes*. 261.  
[https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins/261](https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/261)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact [frice1@udayton.edu](mailto:frice1@udayton.edu), [mschlangen1@udayton.edu](mailto:mschlangen1@udayton.edu).

# ACADEMIC POLICIES COMMITTEE

ACADEMIC SENATE  
UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON  
2017-2018

## MEETING MINUTES

**Approved: 11/3/17**

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2017

11:00AM-12:00 AM SM113A

Chair: **Anne Crecelius**

Members: **Lee Dixon, Jim Dunne, Jason Pierce, Bill Trollinger, Shuang-ye Wu, Philip Appiah-Kubi, John Mittelstaedt, Deb Bickford** (ex-officio)

1. Minutes from 10/13/17 were approved without changes.
2. The University Policy on Undergraduate Student Learning Assessment Draft was discussed.
  - a. Minor changes were made to the draft proposal to improve clarity in Section 2 and explicitly state the policy pertains to the undergraduate level, is not related to course-level student and faculty evaluation, and is done in an ongoing manner.
  - b. A motion to approve the proposal was made (L Dixon) and seconded (J Pierce). The policy was approved unanimously (7 approve).
  - c. The Policy will now be returned to ECAS before being brought to the Academic Senate at the November meeting.
3. Discussion was continued regarding the charge from ECAS to: “develop and recommend a revised process for approving, adjusting and renewing undergraduate academic certificate programs”.
  - a. Topics of discussion included:
    - i. That the review process should align with the processes in place at the unit-level for academic programs.
      1. Single sponsorship should be identified in order to address what the level of review will be.
    - ii. That approval from one unit would be sufficient, pending letters of support from all units involved.
    - iii. Additional modifications to the policy itself may be needed to better define Academic Certificates.
  - b. Draft changes to the policy were considered and additional modifications were made.
  - c. Continued drafting of new and revised language will continue and be discussed at the next meeting.

Next Meeting: Friday, November 3rd, 11:00-12:00 SM 113A