

2020

DOC 2020-06 Response to Full Time Faculty Members Who Fail to Meet Expectations or Violate Policies Related to Faculty Responsibilities

University of Dayton. Faculty Affairs Committee

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_docs

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Faculty Affairs Committee, "DOC 2020-06 Response to Full Time Faculty Members Who Fail to Meet Expectations or Violate Policies Related to Faculty Responsibilities" (2020). *Senate Documents*. 278.

https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_docs/278

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Senate Documents by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

PROPOSAL TO THE ACADEMIC SENATE

TITLE: RESPONSE TO FULL-TIME FACULTY MEMBERS WHO FAIL TO MEET EXPECTATIONS OR VIOLATE POLICIES RELATED TO FACULTY RESPONSIBILITIES.

SUBMITTED BY: Faculty Affairs Committee

DATE: February 4, 2020

ACTION: Legislative concurrence

REFERENCE: II. B. 2

APPROVED: APRIL 17, 2020

Response to Full-time Faculty Members Who Fail to Meet Expectations or Violate Policies Related to Faculty Responsibilities.

The quality of faculty accomplishments in scholarship, teaching, and service shapes the academic quality of the institution as a whole. Faculty responsibilities are defined in university, unit and departmental policies; workload policies; letters of hire; and annual review. On occasion, the department chair, dean, associate provost for faculty affairs, or provost becomes aware of a faculty member who is either failing to meet the unit's expectations or violating policies related to his or her faculty responsibilities. In such situations, the department chair in consultation with the dean's office, dean, associate provost for faculty affairs, or provost is responsible to notify the faculty member of the concern and respond with corrective actions.

The goal is to support the faculty member in improving their performance and/or gaining access to different resources that may be helpful. Except in cases in which dismissal is indicated, corrective actions generally serve two roles. First, they provide notice of the problem and communicate an expectation for change. Second, they are designed to be formative; they provide specific feedback and resources to the faculty member to support him or her in improving professional performance. In some instances, they provide notice that a lack of change will result in consequences that will remain in place until change is made. Examples of such consequences include (but are not limited to): implementation of a performance improvement plan; loss of annual merit increases; focused training in a related area; suspension of eligibility for sabbatical leave; ineligibility for study abroad or summer teaching.

If policy violations continue, consequences may be increased up to and including dismissal or non-renewal of appointment. In such cases, the faculty member shall be informed that they have the right to reach out to the Faculty Hearing Committee on Grievances or Faculty Hearing Committee on Academic Freedom and Tenure, as appropriate. In cases where dismissal is recommended, the faculty dismissal policy will apply.