

1-27-2017

## Faculty Affairs Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2017-01-27

University of Dayton. Faculty Affairs Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins](https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins)

---

### Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Faculty Affairs Committee, "Faculty Affairs Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2017-01-27" (2017). *All Committee Minutes*. 296.  
[https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins/296](https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/296)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact [frice1@udayton.edu](mailto:frice1@udayton.edu), [mschlengen1@udayton.edu](mailto:mschlengen1@udayton.edu).

Minutes of the Faculty Affairs Committee meeting held 27 January 2017

Meeting time: 11:00 – 12:30

Location: Library 601

Present: Andrea Seielstad, Emily Hicks, Caroline Phelps, Ann Biswas, Carissa Krane, Joel Whitaker, Paul Vanderburg, Deo Eustace, Mark Jacobs

Points of discussion:

1. Units with clinical faculty are agreeable to the policy considering the extended interpretation of 'teaching' added to the document.
2. Discussed concerns expressed by faculty external to the committee about the constitutional definition of 'faculty' and specifically whether this policy impinges on it.
  - a. The conclusion was that there was no issue as the policy simply provides a promotion path within an existing category of faculty, namely instructional staff. There is no inference to rank or membership in *The University Faculty* as defined for governance purposes.
3. Discussed issues around the policy as specified in section 3
  - a. Does 'identify' pertain to positions or people
    - i. Resolution was that it pertained to positions, but that people are inextricably linked to said positions.
  - b. Section 3a was reworded to better reflect the position – person nature of the reclassification.
4. Motion to approve was made by Mark, seconded by Emily, and received unanimous support of the committee