University of Dayton Law Review

Volume 11 | Number 1

Article 1

10-1-1985

Front Matter, Volume 11, Number 1

University of Dayton

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr



Part of the Law Commons

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton (1985) "Front Matter, Volume 11, Number 1," University of Dayton Law Review: Vol. 11: No. 1, Article 1.

Available at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/udlr/vol11/iss1/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Dayton Law Review by an authorized editor of eCommons. For more information, please contact mschlangen1@udayton.edu, ecommons@udayton.edu.

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 11

1985-1986

UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON LAW REVIEW

Volume 11	FALL 1985	Number	1
IN MEMORIAM			
FATHER VINCENT R.	Vasey	Frederick Davis	1
ARTICLES			
	REST IN REPRESENTATION DISPUT		3
	OME RULE RE-EXAMINED—THE IN Metropolitan Transit Authority .		23
COMMENT			
RULE AN APPROPRIA	HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS: TE STANDARD OF ANTITRUST AN	ialysis Under	45
CASENOTES			
TRINE OF CHARITABI	TADEL CRUMBLES—OHIO ABOLIS LE IMMUNITY—Albritton v. Neigi Child Development, 12 Ohio St	hborhood Cen- t. 3d 210, 466	03
DISTRICT COURT INT	HE <i>De Novo</i> Standard of Revie ERPRETATIONS OF STATE LAW—, 5 (9th Cir. 1984)	McLinn v. F/V	23
LEGISLATION NOTES	3		
	4: Federal and State Answers		39
	S THE REVISED UNIFORM LIMITED		R 7