

11-13-2020

Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2020-11-13

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins

Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee, "Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2020-11-13" (2020). *All Committee Minutes*. 408.
https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/408

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

APC Minutes
November 13, 2020

Committee Members: **Phil Anloague, Deb Bickford, Connie Bowman**, Michael Davies, **Mary Ellen Dillon, Neomi De Anda, Jim Dunne**, John Mittelstaedt, **Jason Pierce, Maher Qumsiyeh, Andrew Sarangan, and Tereza Szeghi (chair) (Bolded are present)**

1. Approval of [minutes](#) from November 6.
 - a. Add “bolded are present” to minutes.
 - b. On #3, don’t need the “a”
 - c. Motion by Maher to approve, seconded by Connie. Approved with one abstention (Neomi).
2. Discussion of the updated APC [revision](#) to the draft transfer credit policy.
 - a. In section g,
 - i. drop the “will provide” to “provides”
 - ii. The wording in g continues to confuse people and it was suggested that we switch the order of the sentence. “Approved guidance on CAP requirements for students with prior college credit will provide clarification on the applicability of transfer credit for CAP.” Or just delete the first sentence and the final sentence.
 - iii. There will no longer be reference to specific courses.
 - b. In d, the edit suggested will be included (Jason).
 - c. In f, determinations of applicability are being made, so perhaps we don’t need to repeat it again. Should we include the word equivalency in our list of definitions? We could say “determination of course equivalencies and application of course credit...” to add a level of specificity. Could f be addressed by talking about acceptance and application rather than introducing equivalency. But paragraph “a” talks about acceptance. “F” is talking about once it is accepted-- about who is doing it and what is being done.
 - d. In i,
 - i. we discussed the issue of credit hours and decided not to include the conversion of credit hours to be included in the policy statement.
 - ii. the edit suggested by Jason will be accepted.
 - iii. At the top of the document, the dean’s offices are called out in consultation with the CAP office, but the way it is currently worded, it is unclear what the sequential approach should be. The last two sentences of the paragraph should be deleted.
 - iv. Neomi proposed switching the order of a sentence to add clarity, and others approved.
3. Discussion of the updated draft APC [report](#) to ECAS on the draft transfer credit policy.
 - a. We reviewed a change to the first paragraph and agreed that it reads as people would like.

4. We will not be meeting for the next two weeks. We had agreed that we would not meet, when possible, on weeks when the Senate is meeting.
5. Tereza will send a draft of a definition for equivalency for the committee to consider.
6. Adjourned around 3:25 p.m.