

Fall 8-30-2021

## Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2021-08-30

University of Dayton. Academic Senate. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins](https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins)

---

### Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Senate. Academic Policies Committee, "Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2021-08-30" (2021). *All Committee Minutes*. 454.  
[https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins/454](https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/454)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact [mschlangen1@udayton.edu](mailto:mschlangen1@udayton.edu), [ecommons@udayton.edu](mailto:ecommons@udayton.edu).

**APC – Minutes  
August 30, 2021**

**Approved unanimously on September 13, 2021.**

**Committee Members: Dr. Philip Anloague (ex officio); Dr. Philip Appiah-Kubi; Dr. Deb Bickford (ex officio); Laurel Bird (SGA); Jenna Borrelli (SGA); Dr. Art Busch; Dean Alison Carr-Chellman; Interim Dean Trevor Collier; Dir. Jennifer Dalton (FT- NTT Guest); Dr. Neomi DeAnda, Chair; Dr. Greg Elvers; Dr. Harold Merriman; Dean Jason Pierce; Mr. Dan Reyes; Prof. Sarah Webber; [the names of those present are bolded]**

**1. Introductions**

**2. Approval of minutes from April 19 meeting.** Approved as written

**3. Discussion of the CAP 5-Year framework and implementation plan**

- It lays out many things we should do and how the process works
- This year we are looking at DSJ, Humanities Commons, Oral Communication, Senior Capstone
- Humanities commons and oral communications includes background and charge, but neither one talks about how it should be populated. Is it important to have other members? Faculty members from one of the other schools?
- Would like to have conversation with the coordinators and the APC
- DSJ working group-- might want to include someone from the APC, someone through the UNRC process
- Capstone working group-- how to populate that one. APC convene the group. We should think about who that might be, to be the convener
- Convening requirements is a logistical one;
- P. 5 in the framework for CAP evaluation, Do we need a CAP Evaluation Steering Committee? We decided that we would let the process evolve and not create one right away-- we can always revisit it and add one if we decide, in a month or two, to populate one.

**4. In the future, would it be better to move to a ten year timeline? Evaluated every ten years, not every five years?**

- There are pros and cons of this process-- recommendations for changes would take longer to be implemented if only once every ten years
- Should there be a hiatus at least of one year between the reviews? If not, there would be an ongoing presence of review of CAP every year
- People thought that every five year makes sense

**5. Another responsibility for APC:** Review programs and proposals as they come forward-- the other part of our work (none to discuss today)

**Next Steps:**

1. Neomi will invite the coordinators for the three areas to visit with the APC, along with the Assistant Provost for CAP
2. Art Busch volunteered to convene the capstone group

**Next meeting:**

1. 2 weeks from now, September 13-- on zoom for the meeting, which will be limited to 30 minutes.