

University of Dayton

eCommons

---

[All Committee Minutes](#)

[Academic Senate Committees](#)

---

Fall 10-29-2021

## **Student Academic Policies Committee of the Academic Senate 2021-10-29**

University of Dayton. Student Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate\\_cmte\\_mins](https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins)

---

## Approved SAPC Minutes 29<sup>th</sup> October 2021 2:30pm

In attendance Joanna Abdallah, Lee Dixon, Sharon Gratto, Jay Janney, Allison Kinney, Grace Pierucci, Andy Slade, Tom Skill, Madison Woodrum

Regrets: Ryan McEwan, John White

1. Violations of Academic Integrity updates policy: We generated nearly all the changes last year, but this year are developing rationale as to why we proposed these changes to this document. We are also working to ensure consistency among all the relevant documents. Lee is working on this ASAP, and will return to Sharon the completed work.
2. We had a large discussion about the changes needing to be made. Lee initially made the comments based on the prior policy, any changes we made are in track changes. Lee tried to add rationale for the changes we made.
  - a. Page one clarification of incidents: We added language for synchronous meeting (in person not always available). We want email used as documentation, so that it informs the student on the process.
  - b. Our next comment was to clarify the signature confirms a meeting occurred, the signature does not say agreement with the decision.
  - c. We changed language from “Five days” to “five business days”.
  - d. We added language to clarify in what unit the infraction occurred.
  - e. We added a language “or appropriate departmental or program body”.
  - f. EGR has a policy that says students may not appeal the grade for an academic grade change. We added similar language to our revision. The student may not drop or withdraw from a course after a finding of academic dishonesty in that course.
3. We discussed there is variation among units on standing committees. Some units have a standing committee for addressing violations of academic integrity, others have ad hoc committees developed as incidences occur. We recommended committee memberships include two or more full time full time faculty, preferably one tenured faculty as well as one student from the major of the departmental/program where the incident occurred.
4. The next step is for our work to go to ECAS. Our charge was to simplify the process, clarifying it. Our recommendations require the full approval of the academic senate.
  - a. We’ll need to regroup with David Wright to discuss electronic logistics. “How do we show that a) confidentiality remains” and b) if it is cleared then ensure the student’s record gets expunged.
5. SET work. Lee attended a sub-committee involving the SGA. They discussed how faculty may give extra credit for completing SET form, leading us to discuss it as well. In one unit some faculty offer give EC if 90% of the class completes the set. Can incentives be used to enhance response rates? Current policy is not to forbid EC for completing the SET. “What effect does offering EC have on comments provided on SET”? Should we respect a student’s decision to not complete the SET? We did not resolve these amicable discussions.
6. The November 5<sup>th</sup> SAPC meeting will be cancelled.
7. The meeting adjourned at 3:20 so that we could attend today’s Academic Senate meeting.

Respectfully submitted

Jay Janney, recording clerk.