

University of Dayton

eCommons

[All Committee Minutes](#)

[Academic Senate Committees](#)

Spring 4-25-2022

Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the Academic Senate 2022-04-25

University of Dayton. Academic Senate. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins

APC Minutes - Approved

April 25, 2022

Committee Members (present are bolded): Phil Anloague (ex officio); **Philip Appiah-Kubi**; **Deb Bickford** (ex officio); **Laurel Bird**; **Jenna Borrelli**; **Art Busch**; Alison Carr-Chellman; Trevor Collier; Jennifer Dalton; **Neomi DeAnda** (chair); Greg Elvers; **Harold Merriman**; **Danielle Poe**; Dan Reyes; **Sarah Webber**

1. Approval of [Minutes from April 18, 2022](#). The minutes were approved unanimously.
2. SET charge final discussion and possible vote
 - This is a draft; Neomi will be meeting with Sam Dorf and Carissa Krane later today about this draft
 - Art clarified that the technical aspects of the SET will remain the same. It encourages faculty members to set aside class time for completion, preferably in the beginning of the class (so that students stay and complete it)
 - All present voting members approved the draft policy
3. [CAP 5 Year Review Report Year 1 final discussion](#) possible vote
 - APC should remain the responsible party for CAP
 - Alternatively to having someone from CAPL serve in an ex officio seat on CAP, perhaps we could continue to have the CAP Assistant Provost as a guest on the APC
 - Diversity and social justice has had a lot of attention over the years, in part to maximize its potential
 - A vote was taken– the report to go to ECAS. There was one abstention and eight in favor of the report going forward
4. CAP 5 Year Review Framework final discussion (<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1gyYZ6YHrpCqxPg5ITFreRnBeKHLV8vzFgj3pKrb4BA/edit?usp=sharing>)
 - Bottom page 3 to top of page 4: 2021-2022 APC process– anything to change? No changes suggested
 - Later in the document, at the bottom of page 11– the process for social sciences 200 and writing. Second year writing went up for the four year review– maybe in the future, the assessment for four year review should be considered in the APC review
 - Peg Strain said the four review of the second year writing has led to some changes needed; those will be embedded in the report
 - Crossing boundaries– faith traditions and crossing boundaries– practical ethical action are also being considered.
 - This is a working document and changes can be made in the future
5. APC end of year report to Academic Senate final discussion (email attachment)
 - <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZYVE1ZBqUES5an8Q-hlJa0kmFt7mZ5Tp8M7yqj0nVHs/edit>
 - Please feel free to weigh in with suggested changes by Wednesday or Thursday

6. Felicitations!

7. A special note to Jane Koester for all her help throughout the year

8. Thank you for all of your work this year members of APC!!!