Pride in the Profession: A Qualitative Exploration of the Experiences of LGBTQIA+-identifying Higher Education and Student Affairs Professionals Jason N. Honesto

Advisor: Graham F. Hunter, Ph.D.

Introduction

The purpose of this study is to explore the experiences of LGBTQIA+-identifying higher education and student affairs professionals and how their sexuality shapes their practice

Guiding Research Questions

1. How do LGBTQIA+ HESA professionals experience their identity in the workplace? 2. What strategies do LGBTQIA+ HESA professionals use to manage their identity in the workplace?

3. How do LGBTQIA+ HESA professionals describe the influence of their identity on their professional practice?

Literature Review

- Current literature focuses on professionals in the role of "researcher", seeking to develop best practices for students rather than exist as objects of study
- Socialized heteronormativity continues to challenge members of the LGBTQIA+ community as they navigate identity disclosure, especially in the workplace (Muraco & Fredriksen-Goldsen, 2016; Di Marco et al., 2021)

Methodology/Methods

Method

- Constructivist paradigm
- Qualitative research approach

Sample

- 5 HESA para/professional participants entering/currently working in the field of Higher Education and Student Affairs
- All participants identified as members of the LGBTQIA+ community

Data Collection and Analysis Strategies

- Participants participated in 60 minute interviews during which they provided answers to a series of open-ended questions
- Utilized inductive analysis through coding as described in Creswell and Creswell's (2018) data analysis process.

Results

- LGBTQIA+ identity helped develop empathy for others
- Higher Education/Student Affairs field perception of LGBTQIA+ professionals
- LGBTQIA+ identity is a piece, not the whole

References

Bryant-Lees, K., & Kite, M. E. (2021). Evaluations of LGBT job applicants: consequences of applying "out". Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal, Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. Di Marco, D., Hoel, H., & Lewis, D. (2021). Discrimination and exclusion on grounds of sexual and gender identity: Are LGBT people's voices heard at the

Journal of Psychology, 24. e18. Doi:10.1017/SJP.2021.16

Muraco, A., & Fredriksen-Goldsen, K. I. (2016). Turning points in the lives of lesbian and gay adults age 50 and over. Advances in Life Course Research, 30, 124-

Pizer, J. C., Sears, B., Mallory, C., & Hunter, N. D. (2012). Evidence of persistent and pervasive workplace discrimination against LGBT people: The need for federal legislation prohibiting discrimination and providing for equal employment benefits. Loyola of Los Angeles Law Review, 45(3), 715–779. Wright-Mair, R., & Marine, S. B. (2021). The impact of relationships on the experiences of racially minoritized LGBTQ+ faculty in higher education. *Journal of* Diversity in Higher Education. https://doi-org.libproxy.udayton.edu/10.1037/dhe0000373

Discussion

Connection to Existing Literature

- Individuals in leadership positions have the responsibility to create a culture of inclusivity (Bryant-Lees & Kite, 2020)
- Identity disclosure dependent on individual's comfortability (Pizer et al., 2012)
- Support from mentors/colleagues contibrute to development of sense of self (Wright-Mair and Marine, 2021)

Limitations

- Decrease in expected participation led to smaller sample size
- Sample consisted of Student Affairs para/professionals; No Academic Affairs represtentation

Implications for Research

- Explore identity intersectionality in larger sample size
- Contain Academic Affairs representation

Implications for Practice

- Insitutions should consistently seek opportunities to support LGBTQIA+identifying students and faculty
- HESA field should re-evaluate systems of inequity that impact how LGBTQIA+identifying professionals experience support