

2014

## 2014-02-17 Common Academic Program Committee Minutes

University of Dayton. Common Academic Program Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [http://ecommons.udayton.edu/capc\\_mins](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/capc_mins)

---

### Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Common Academic Program Committee, "2014-02-17 Common Academic Program Committee Minutes" (2014). *Common Academic Program Committee Minutes*. Paper 40.  
[http://ecommons.udayton.edu/capc\\_mins/40](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/capc_mins/40)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Common Academic Program Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact [frice1@udayton.edu](mailto:frice1@udayton.edu).

## Minutes of the Common Academic Program Committee (CAPC)

**Date:** February 17, 2014

**Location:** LTC Forum

### Present:

Dominic Sanfilippo

Don Pair

Elizabeth Gustafson (*ex-officio*)

Fred Jenkins (*ex-officio*)

Jim Dunne

Joan Plungis

Juan Santamarina

Kathryn Kinnucan-Welsch (*ex-officio*)

Keri Brown Kirschman

Leno Pedrotti

Sawyer Hunley

Scott Schneider

### Absent:

Jennifer Creech

Riad Alakkad (*ex-officio*)

John White

### Guests:

John Inglis and Viorel Paslaru, Philosophy

## A. Review of PHL 383: Ethics of Scientific Research-Practical Ethical Action & Advanced Philosophical Studies

### 1. Discussion:

- a. Course noted as a great proposal
- b. In the section wherein proposer describes how this course will satisfy the Student Learning Outcomes, Critical Evaluation of our Times was not included
  - i. Proposer noted this was a technical issue due to the course having been started prior to use of the Course Inventory Management System and the information not having been copied over to CIM
- c. Proposer provided a letter of support from the Biology department
- d. Course was noted as suitable for a diverse audience of students from multiple disciplines
  - i. It was noted that in the proposal, reference to “who are the students likely to take this course” might benefit from an expanded list if desired by the proposer
- e. The course title was discussed in relation to the possible student interpretation of the term “Scientific”
  - i. Proposer was advised that a title change would first need to be discussed with the Executive Committee of the AAC.
  - ii. It was suggested that if the title stays the same, proposer might revise (optionally) the listing of majors to be more inclusive, or the course description
- f. Committee discussed the proposal statement that the course serves to fulfill “prerequisites for research projects”
  - i. Proposer noted that he was informed that students must take certain research orientation and that this course would help in this regard
    1. Proposer was advised of an option to change the sentence to: “that are in some cases prerequisites for research projects” for clarity
- g. Proposer was commended in that the advanced philosophy component is explicitly tied to the Catholic intellectual tradition

### 2. Vote:

- a. Motion for approval pending with minor revisions: Motion by Leno, second motion by Don
  - i. Add Critical Evaluation of our Times reference to the SLO section; other revisions discussed are optional at discretion of proposer and unit
- b. 9-0-0 (for, against, abstained)

**Other Discussion:**

1. Stephen Brown was approved by ECAS to be a member of CAPC
2. Minutes have been posted on the CAP Isidore site for review and comment
3. One course for review next week: GEO 103
4. At a meeting this Spring, we will discuss rotation of 1/3 of the committee members off of this committee
  - a. ECAS does the approval

**E. Next meeting: Monday, February 24, 2014**