

6-2017

Dense Subsets of Function Spaces with No Non-Trivial Convergent Sequences

Vladimir V. Tkachuk

Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana - Iztapalapa, vova@xanum.uam.mx

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf



Part of the [Geometry and Topology Commons](#), and the [Special Functions Commons](#)

eCommons Citation

Tkachuk, Vladimir V., "Dense Subsets of Function Spaces with No Non-Trivial Convergent Sequences" (2017). *Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications*. 50.

http://ecommons.udayton.edu/topology_conf/50

This Plenary Lecture is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Summer Conference on Topology and Its Applications by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.

Dense subsets of function spaces with no non-trivial convergent sequences

V.V. Tkachuk

*Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana de México*

June 2017,
32nd Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications
Dayton, OH, U.S.A.

A classical theorem of Gerlits, Nagy and Pytkeev proved in 1982 states that for any Tychonoff space X , if $C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then it is Fréchet–Urysohn. Even if $C_p(X)$ is assumed to be sequential, it is not easy at all to prove that it has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

A classical theorem of Gerlits, Nagy and Pytkeev proved in 1982 states that for any Tychonoff space X , if $C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then it is Fréchet–Urysohn. Even if $C_p(X)$ is assumed to be sequential, it is not easy at all to prove that it has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

This theorem cannot be generalized to arbitrary subspaces of the spaces $C_p(X)$. Indeed, $\beta\omega$ is a compact subspace of $C_p(C_p(\beta\omega))$ but it does not even have countable tightness.

A classical theorem of Gerlits, Nagy and Pytkeev proved in 1982 states that for any Tychonoff space X , if $C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then it is Fréchet–Urysohn. Even if $C_p(X)$ is assumed to be sequential, it is not easy at all to prove that it has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

This theorem cannot be generalized to arbitrary subspaces of the spaces $C_p(X)$. Indeed, $\beta\omega$ is a compact subspace of $C_p(C_p(\beta\omega))$ but it does not even have countable tightness.

The Arhangel'skii–Franklin sequential space F whose discovery dates back to 1968, embeds in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}} = C_p(D(\mathfrak{c}))$; here $D(\mathfrak{c})$ is the discrete space of cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Since the space F is not Fréchet–Urysohn, even sequentiality of a subspace of $C_p(X)$ does not imply its Fréchet–Urysohn property.

Now, if K is compact, then the k -property of a subspace of $C_p(K)$ is equivalent to its Fréchet–Urysohn property: this was proved by Pytkeev in 1982. In this paper we will show that the same equivalence takes place if K is σ -pseudocompact.

Now, if K is compact, then the k -property of a subspace of $C_p(K)$ is equivalent to its Fréchet–Urysohn property: this was proved by Pytkeev in 1982. In this paper we will show that the same equivalence takes place if K is σ -pseudocompact.

Pytkeev established in 1993 that a compact space X is scattered if and only if $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. This implies that $C_p(X)$ has a dense k -subspace if and only if it is Fréchet–Urysohn.

Now, if K is compact, then the k -property of a subspace of $C_p(K)$ is equivalent to its Fréchet–Urysohn property: this was proved by Pytkeev in 1982. In this paper we will show that the same equivalence takes place if K is σ -pseudocompact.

Pytkeev established in 1993 that a compact space X is scattered if and only if $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. This implies that $C_p(X)$ has a dense k -subspace if and only if it is Fréchet–Urysohn.

We will show that this result cannot be generalized to countably compact spaces X , i.e., the existence of a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace in $C_p(X)$ does not imply that $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet–Urysohn. However, if a countably compact space X is sequential and $C_p(X)$ has a dense k -subspace, then X is scattered.

The above-mentioned result of Pytkeev implies that for a non-scattered compact space X , no dense subspace of $C_p(X)$ has the Fréchet–Urysohn property. We will establish that a Corson compact space X is scattered or, equivalently, $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet–Urysohn if and only if every dense subspace of $C_p(X)$ has a non-trivial convergent sequence. If a σ -compact space X is uncountable and $w(X) = \omega$, then any dense subspace of $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

In 2000, Alas, Sanchis, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson proved that, under the Booth lemma, $[0, 1]^c$ has a countable dense submaximal subspace and, in particular, it has a countable dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences.

In 2000, Alas, Sanchis, Tkachenko, Tkachuk and Wilson proved that, under the Booth lemma, $[0, 1]^{\mathfrak{c}}$ has a countable dense submaximal subspace and, in particular, it has a countable dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences.

In this paper we will construct in ZFC such a countable dense subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}}$. Besides, we will show that, for any cardinal $\kappa \geq \mathfrak{c}$, the space \mathbb{R}^{κ} has a dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences.

1. Theorem.

Given a σ -bounded space X , if $Y \subset C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then Y has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

1. Theorem.

Given a σ -bounded space X , if $Y \subset C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then Y has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

Proof.

Observe that $K = vX$ is σ -compact and the restriction map $\pi : C_p(K) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ is a bijection. If a set $L \subset C_p(X)$ is compact, then $\pi^{-1}(L) \subset C_p(K)$ is homeomorphic to L so L is Fréchet–Urysohn being Gul'ko compact.

1. Theorem.

Given a σ -bounded space X , if $Y \subset C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then Y has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

Proof.

Observe that $K = vX$ is σ -compact and the restriction map $\pi : C_p(K) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ is a bijection. If a set $L \subset C_p(X)$ is compact, then $\pi^{-1}(L) \subset C_p(K)$ is homeomorphic to L so L is Fréchet–Urysohn being Gul’ko compact.

Therefore the k -property of Y implies that Y is sequential and hence $t(Y) \leq \omega$. Consider the space $Z = \pi^{-1}(Y) \subset C_p(K)$ and assume that a set $A \subset Z$ is not closed in Z . For the set $B = \pi(A)$ it follows from $A = \pi^{-1}(B)$ that B is not closed in Y . Let $S \subset B$ be a sequence converging to a point $x \in Y \setminus B$.

The restriction of the map π to the countable set $\pi^{-1}(\{x\} \cup S)$ is a homeomorphism so the sequence $\pi^{-1}(S) \subset A$ converges to the point $\pi^{-1}(x) \in Z \setminus A$; this proves that Z is also sequential.

The restriction of the map π to the countable set $\pi^{-1}(\{x\} \cup S)$ is a homeomorphism so the sequence $\pi^{-1}(S) \subset A$ converges to the point $\pi^{-1}(x) \in Z \setminus A$; this proves that Z is also sequential.

The space $C_p(K)$ has the Whyburn property and therefore Z is also a Whyburn space. This, together with sequentiality of Z easily implies that Z has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

The restriction of the map π to the countable set $\pi^{-1}(\{x\} \cup S)$ is a homeomorphism so the sequence $\pi^{-1}(S) \subset A$ converges to the point $\pi^{-1}(x) \in Z \setminus A$; this proves that Z is also sequential.

The space $C_p(K)$ has the Whyburn property and therefore Z is also a Whyburn space. This, together with sequentiality of Z easily implies that Z has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

Fix any set $E \subset Y$ and a point $x \in \text{cl}_Y(E)$. There exists a countable set $H \subset E$ such that $x \in \text{cl}_Y(H)$. If $G = \pi^{-1}(E)$ and $D = \pi^{-1}(H)$, then the point $y = \pi^{-1}(x)$ belongs to the closure in Z of the set $D \subset G$ because the restriction of the map π to the set $\{y\} \cup D$ is a homeomorphism. Since Z is Fréchet–Urysohn, we can find a sequence $T \subset G$ that converges to y . Then the sequence $\pi(T) \subset E$ converges to x and witnesses that Y has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

3. Corollary.

For any σ -pseudocompact X , if $Y \subset C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then Y has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

3. Corollary.

For any σ -pseudocompact X , if $Y \subset C_p(X)$ is a k -space, then Y has the Fréchet–Urysohn property.

4. Example.

The Arhangel'skii–Franklin space \mathcal{S} is countable, sequential but not Fréchet–Urysohn. Since \mathcal{S} embeds in $C_p(C_p(\mathcal{S}))$ and $w(C_p(\mathcal{S})) \leq \omega$, we can see that a sequential subspace of $C_p(X)$ need not be Fréchet–Urysohn even if $X = C_p(\mathcal{S})$ is second countable.

5. Definition.

Say that a space X has the Banach property, if there exists a sequence $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ of closed nowhere dense subsets of X that swallows all compact subsets of X , i.e., for any compact set $K \subset X$, there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $K \subset F_n$.

5. Definition.

Say that a space X has the Banach property, if there exists a sequence $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ of closed nowhere dense subsets of X that swallows all compact subsets of X , i.e., for any compact set $K \subset X$, there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $K \subset F_n$.

Krupski and Marciszewski established that a space with the Banach property can be sequential but cannot be Fréchet–Urysohn. The following is immediate from the definition.

5. Definition.

Say that a space X has the Banach property, if there exists a sequence $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ of closed nowhere dense subsets of X that swallows all compact subsets of X , i.e., for any compact set $K \subset X$, there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $K \subset F_n$.

Krupski and Marciszewski established that a space with the Banach property can be sequential but cannot be Fréchet–Urysohn. The following is immediate from the definition.

6. Proposition.

If a space X has the Banach property, then any dense subset of X also has the Banach property.

Krupski and Marciszewski also proved that a compact space X is scattered if and only if $C_p(X)$ fails to have the Banach property. We will present a version of this result for countably compact spaces.

Krupski and Marciszewski also proved that a compact space X is scattered if and only if $C_p(X)$ fails to have the Banach property. We will present a version of this result for countably compact spaces.

7. Proposition.

Suppose that a space X has a subspace Y with the Baire property in which we can find a countable π -network consisting of infinite subsets of Y . Then $C_p(X)$ has the Banach property.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{P} be a countable π -network in Y such that every element of \mathcal{P} is infinite. Therefore the set

$H(P, n) = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(P) \subset [-n, n]\}$ is closed and nowhere dense in $C_p(X)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To see that the family $\mathcal{N} = \{H(P, n) : P \in \mathcal{P}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ witnesses the Banach property of $C_p(X)$, fix any compact subset $K \subset C_p(X)$. For each $x \in X$ there exists a number $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x)| \leq n(x)$ for every $f \in K$.

Proof.

Let \mathcal{P} be a countable π -network in Y such that every element of \mathcal{P} is infinite. Therefore the set

$H(P, n) = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(P) \subset [-n, n]\}$ is closed and nowhere dense in $C_p(X)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To see that the family $\mathcal{N} = \{H(P, n) : P \in \mathcal{P}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ witnesses the Banach property of $C_p(X)$, fix any compact subset $K \subset C_p(X)$. For each $x \in X$ there exists a number $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x)| \leq n(x)$ for every $f \in K$.

It is easy to see that the set $Q_n = \{x \in X : n(x) \leq n\}$ is closed in X for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Q_n$, it follows from the Baire property of Y that $Q_n \cap Y$ has non-empty interior in Y for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P \subset Q_n \cap Y$ and observe that $K \subset H(P, n)$; this proves that the family \mathcal{N} swallows all compact subsets of $C_p(X)$.

8. Corollary.

If X is a non-empty space, then $C_p(C_p(X))$ has the Banach property.

8. Corollary.

If X is a non-empty space, then $C_p(C_p(X))$ has the Banach property.

Proof.

Just observe that \mathbb{R} embeds in $C_p(X)$ and apply Proposition 7.

9. Theorem.

Let X be a countably compact sequential space. If X is not scattered, then $C_p(X)$ has the Banach property.

9. Theorem.

Let X be a countably compact sequential space. If X is not scattered, then $C_p(X)$ has the Banach property.

Proof.

If X is not scattered, then take a crowded subspace $Z \subset X$. It easily follows from $t(Z) \leq \omega$ that we can find a crowded countable subspace $A \subset Z$; then the set $Y = \overline{A}$ has the Baire property being countably compact. It follows from sequentiality of Y that for every $y \in Y$ we can find a countable π -network \mathcal{P}_y at y consisting of infinite subsets of A . Therefore $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_a : a \in A\}$ is a countable π -network in Y consisting of infinite subsets of Y . This shows that Proposition 7 is applicable to conclude that $C_p(X)$ has the Banach property.

10. Corollary.

If X is a countably compact sequential space and $C_p(X)$ has a dense k -subspace, then X is scattered.

10. Corollary.

If X is a countably compact sequential space and $C_p(X)$ has a dense k -subspace, then X is scattered.

Proof.

Let $D \subset C_p(X)$ be a dense k -subspace of $C_p(X)$. Note first that D must be Fréchet–Urysohn by Theorem 1. If X is not scattered, then $C_p(X)$ has the Banach property by Theorem 9. Therefore D also has the Banach property by Proposition 6. However, a Fréchet–Urysohn space cannot have the Banach property by a result of Krupski and Marciszewski. This contradiction shows that X is scattered.

If X is a compact space and $C_p(X)$ has a dense k -subspace, then $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet–Urysohn: this was proved by Pytkeev in 1993. The following example shows that we cannot expect that for countably compact spaces.

If X is a compact space and $C_p(X)$ has a dense k -subspace, then $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet–Urysohn: this was proved by Pytkeev in 1993. The following example shows that we cannot expect that for countably compact spaces.

11. Example.

If X is the ordinal ω_1 with its interval topology, then X is a countably compact space for which $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace while $t(C_p(X)) > \omega$.

Proof.

The ordinal $\omega_1 + 1$ is a scattered compact space. Let Y be its quotient space obtained by identifying the points 0 and ω_1 . Then Y is also a scattered compact space and hence $C_p(Y)$ has the Fréchet–Urysohn property. If $t \in Y$ is the point represented by the set $\{0, \omega_1\}$ then let $\varphi(0) = t$ and $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\varphi : \omega_1 \rightarrow Y$ is easily seen to be a condensation and hence $C_p(\omega_1)$ has a dense subspace homeomorphic to the Fréchet–Urysohn space $C_p(Y)$. Finally observe that $t(C_p(X)) > \omega$ because X is not Lindelöf.

12. Proposition.

Suppose that X and Y are crowded spaces for which

- (a) there exists a dense set $A = \{a_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ without non-trivial convergent sequences;
- (b) there exists a sequence $\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$ of discrete subspaces of Y such that $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$ for any $n \in \omega$ and $D = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} D_n$ is dense in Y .

Then the set $E = \bigcup \{\{a_n\} \times D_n : n \in \omega\}$ is dense in $X \times Y$ and has no non-trivial convergent sequences.

Proof.

Given any sets $U \in \tau^*(X)$ and $V \in \tau^*(Y)$, there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $D_n \cap V \neq \emptyset$. The set U being infinite, we can choose $m \geq n$ such that $a_m \in U$. Pick a point $d \in D_n \cap V$ and observe that the point $(a_m, d) \in D \cap (U \times V)$ witnesses that E is dense in $X \times Y$.

Proof.

Given any sets $U \in \tau^*(X)$ and $V \in \tau^*(Y)$, there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $D_n \cap V \neq \emptyset$. The set U being infinite, we can choose $m \geq n$ such that $a_m \in U$. Pick a point $d \in D_n \cap V$ and observe that the point $(a_m, d) \in D \cap (U \times V)$ witnesses that E is dense in $X \times Y$.

Now, assume that a sequence $S \subset E$ converges to a point $z = (a_n, d) \in E \setminus S$. The set D_n being discrete, the intersection $G = S \cap (\{a_n\} \times D_n)$ must be finite and hence the sequence $S \setminus G$ still converges to z . If $p : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is the projection, then the sequence $S' = p(S \setminus G) \subset A$ converges to the point $a_n \in A \setminus S'$ which is a contradiction. Therefore E has no non-trivial convergent sequences.

13. Corollary.

If crowded space X has a countable dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences and a crowded space Y is separable, then $X \times Y$ has a dense subspace with no non-trivial convergent sequences.

The proof of the following statement a straightforward modification of the proof of Lemma 3.4 from the paper

V.V. Tkachuk, *Discrete reflexivity in function spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., **22:1**(2015), 1-14

The proof of the following statement a straightforward modification of the proof of Lemma 3.4 from the paper

V.V. Tkachuk, *Discrete reflexivity in function spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., **22:1**(2015), 1-14

14. Lemma.

Suppose that F is a compact subset of an infinite space X and denote by I_F the set $\{f \in C_p(X) : f(F) \subset \{0\}\}$. Assume additionally, that there is a discrete set $D \subset (X \setminus F) \times (X \setminus F)$ such that $|D| = iw(X)$. Then there exists a discrete set $\Omega \subset (C_p(X, [-2, 2]) \cap I_F) \setminus C_p(X, \mathbb{I})$ such that $|\Omega| \leq iw(X)$ and $C_p(X, \mathbb{I}) \cap I_F \subset \overline{\Omega}$.

15. Theorem.

Suppose that X is a second countable uncountable σ -compact space and D is a dense subset of $C_p(X)$. Then there exists a set $E \subset D$ such that $\overline{E} = C_p(X)$ and E has no non-trivial convergent sequences.

Proof.

There is a family $\{K_n : n \in \omega\}$ of compact subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} K_n$. All sets K_n cannot be scattered so $C_p(X)$ has the Banach property by a theorem of Krupski and Maciszewski and hence we can fix an increasing family $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nowhere dense closed subsets of $C_p(X)$ such that every compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ is contained in a member of \mathcal{F} .

Proof.

There is a family $\{K_n : n \in \omega\}$ of compact subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} K_n$. All sets K_n cannot be scattered so $C_p(X)$ has the Banach property by a theorem of Krupski and Maciszewski and hence we can fix an increasing family $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nowhere dense closed subsets of $C_p(X)$ such that every compact subspace of $C_p(X)$ is contained in a member of \mathcal{F} .

The space $C_p(X)$ is selectively separable so we can choose a finite set $E_n \subset D \setminus F_n$ for every $n \in \omega$ in such a way that $E = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} E_n$ is dense in $C_p(X)$. If K is a compact subset of E , then there exists $n \in \omega$ for which $K \subset F_n$. Our choice of E shows that $K \subset E_0 \cup \dots \cup E_{n-1}$, i.e., K is finite. Therefore all compact subsets of E are finite so it has no non-trivial convergent sequences as promised.

16. Corollary.

There is a countable dense subset D in the space \mathbb{R}^c that has no non-trivial convergent sequences.

16. Corollary.

There is a countable dense subset D in the space $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ that has no non-trivial convergent sequences.

Proof.

Give the ordinal \mathfrak{c} the discrete topology and let $\varphi : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ be a bijection. For any $f \in C_p(\mathbb{I})$ let $\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi$. Then $\varphi^* : C_p(\mathbb{I}) \rightarrow C_p(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ is a dense embedding. By Theorem 15 there exists a countable dense set $Y \subset C_p(\mathbb{I})$ without non-trivial convergent sequences. Then $\varphi^*(Y)$ is a countable dense subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ without non-trivial convergent sequences.

17. Corollary.

It is independent of ZFC whether \mathbb{R}^{ω_1} has a countable dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

17. Corollary.

It is independent of ZFC whether \mathbb{R}^{ω_1} has a countable dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

Proof.

Under CH the space \mathbb{R}^{ω_1} coincides with $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ so it has a countable dense set without non-trivial convergent sequences by Corollary 16. However, if $\text{MA}_+ \neg \text{CH}$ holds, then every countable subspace of \mathbb{R}^{ω_1} is Fréchet–Urysohn so it has no countable dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences.

18. Corollary.

If X a space with a countable network that has an uncountable compact subspace, then $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

18. Corollary.

If X a space with a countable network that has an uncountable compact subspace, then $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

Proof.

If K is an uncountable compact subset of X , then the space K is metrizable and hence $C_p(X)$ is homeomorphic to the product $C_p(K) \times I_K$ where $I_K = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(K) \subset \{0\}\}$. Now it follows $nw(I_K) \leq nw(C_p(X)) = nw(X) = \omega$ together with Theorem 15 and Corollary 13 that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

19. Corollary.

If X is an uncountable analytic space, then $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

19. Corollary.

If X is an uncountable analytic space, then $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

Proof.

It is well known that there exists a subspace $K \subset X$ homeomorphic to the Cantor set; Corollary 18 does the rest.

20. Lemma.

Given an infinite monolithic compact space X and a separable closed set $F \subset X$, there exists a discrete set $D \subset (X \setminus F) \times (X \setminus F)$ such that $|D| = w(X)$.

Proof.

There is nothing to prove if $w(X) = \omega$ so we can assume that $\kappa = w(X) > \omega$. Let $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ be the diagonal of the space X . For every point $z = (x, y) \in (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$ we can choose cozero sets $U_z, V_z \subset X \setminus F$ such that $x \in U_z, y \in V_z$ and $U_z \cap V_z = \emptyset$; then $z \in W_z = U_z \times V_z \subset (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$. Since the family $\mathcal{W} = \{W_z : z \in (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta\}$ is an open cover of $(X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$, we can apply Shapirovskii's lemma to find a discrete set $D \subset (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$ and a family $\mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that $|\mathcal{W}'| \leq |D|$ and $(X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta \subset \overline{D} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{W}'$.

If $|D| < \kappa$, then apply monolithity of X to see that $nw(\overline{D}) < \kappa$ and hence we can find a family $\mathcal{W}'' \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that $|\mathcal{W}''| < \kappa$ and $\overline{D} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{W}''$. Then the family $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{W}' \cup \mathcal{W}''$ has cardinality strictly less than κ and $\bigcup \mathcal{U} = (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$. There exists a set $A \subset (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$ such that $|A| < \kappa$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{W_z : z \in A\}$.

If $|D| < \kappa$, then apply monolithity of X to see that $nw(\overline{D}) < \kappa$ and hence we can find a family $\mathcal{W}'' \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that $|\mathcal{W}''| < \kappa$ and $\overline{D} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{W}''$. Then the family $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{W}' \cup \mathcal{W}''$ has cardinality strictly less than κ and $\bigcup \mathcal{U} = (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$. There exists a set $A \subset (X \setminus F)^2 \setminus \Delta$ such that $|A| < \kappa$ and $\mathcal{U} = \{W_z : z \in A\}$.

The set F being second countable, we can find a countable family \mathcal{V} of cozero subsets of X that T_2 -separates the points of F . It is easy to see that the family $\mathcal{H} = \{U_z, V_z : z \in A\} \cup \mathcal{V}$ is T_0 -separating in X and $|\mathcal{H}| < \kappa$. It is standard that $w(X) \leq |\mathcal{H}| < \kappa$; this contradiction shows that $|D| = \kappa$.

21. Lemma.

Suppose that X is a monolithic compact space. If $F \subset X$ is a separable closed subspace of X , then there exists a family $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of discrete subspaces of the set $I_F = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(F) \subset \{0\}\}$ such that $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ is dense in I_F .

21. Lemma.

Suppose that X is a monolithic compact space. If $F \subset X$ is a separable closed subspace of X , then there exists a family $\{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of discrete subspaces of the set $I_F = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(F) \subset \{0\}\}$ such that $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n$ is dense in I_F .

Proof.

Our lemma trivially holds if $w(X) = \omega$ so assume that $\kappa = w(X) > \omega$. For every $r > 0$ let $J_r = I_F \cap C_p(X, [-r, r])$ and apply Lemma 20 to find a discrete set $D \subset (X \setminus F)^2$ such that $|D| = w(X)$. It follows from Lemma 14 that $J_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}$ for some discrete set $\Omega \subset J_2$. Fix a disjoint family $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of non-empty open subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subset X \setminus F$ and pick a point $x_n \in U_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The set $\Omega_n = \{n \cdot f : f \in \Omega\} \subset J_{2n}$ is discrete and $J_n \subset \overline{\Omega}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n$ is easily seen to be dense in I_F and hence the set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_n$ is also dense in I_F . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take a function $g_n \in C_p(X, [0, 4^n])$ such that $g_n(x_n) = 4^n$ and $g_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus U_n$; let $E_n = g_n + \Omega_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The set $\Omega_n = \{n \cdot f : f \in \Omega\} \subset J_{2n}$ is discrete and $J_n \subset \overline{\Omega}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n$ is easily seen to be dense in I_F and hence the set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_n$ is also dense in I_F . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take a function $g_n \in C_p(X, [0, 4^n])$ such that $g_n(x_n) = 4^n$ and $g_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus U_n$; let $E_n = g_n + \Omega_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n < m$, then $g(x_m) \in [4^n - 2n, 4^n + 2n]$ for any $g \in \Omega_n$ and $f(x_m) \in [4^m - 2m, 4^m + 2m]$ for any $f \in \Omega_m$. It is easy to see that $4^m - 2m > 4^n + 2n$ so the intervals $[4^n - 2n, 4^n + 2n]$ and $[4^m - 2m, 4^m + 2m]$ are disjoint and hence

The set $\Omega_n = \{n \cdot f : f \in \Omega\} \subset J_{2n}$ is discrete and $J_n \subset \overline{\Omega}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n$ is easily seen to be dense in I_F and hence the set $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_n$ is also dense in I_F . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take a function $g_n \in C_p(X, [0, 4^n])$ such that $g_n(x_n) = 4^n$ and $g_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus U_n$; let $E_n = g_n + \Omega_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n < m$, then $g(x_m) \in [4^n - 2n, 4^n + 2n]$ for any $g \in \Omega_n$ and $f(x_m) \in [4^m - 2m, 4^m + 2m]$ for any $f \in \Omega_m$. It is easy to see that $4^m - 2m > 4^n + 2n$ so the intervals $[4^n - 2n, 4^n + 2n]$ and $[4^m - 2m, 4^m + 2m]$ are disjoint and hence

(*) $\overline{E}_n \cap \overline{E}_m = \emptyset$ for any distinct $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $D_n = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n$; then $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$ and it easily follows from (*) that the set D_n is discrete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To see that $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is dense in I_F take any points $y_1, \dots, y_k \in X \setminus F$ and $O_1, \dots, O_k \in \tau^*(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $D_n = E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n$; then $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$ and it easily follows from (*) that the set D_n is discrete for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To see that $D = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is dense in I_F take any points $y_1, \dots, y_k \in X \setminus F$ and $O_1, \dots, O_k \in \tau^*(\mathbb{R})$.

There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\} \cap U_n = \emptyset$ and $O_i \cap [-n, n] \neq \emptyset$ for any $i \leq k$. It follows from $J_n \subset \overline{\Omega}_n$ that we can find a function $f \in \Omega_n$ such that $f(y_i) \in O_i$ for all $i \leq k$. However, $g_n(y_i) = 0$ and hence $f(y_i) + g_n(y_i) = f(y_i) \in O_i$ for every $i \leq k$ so the function $f + g_n \in E_n$ witnesses that D is dense in I_F .

The result that follows shows that, for wide classes of compact spaces X , if $C_p(X)$ is not Fréchet–Urysohn, then it is very strongly non-Fréchet–Urysohn, i.e., there exists a dense subset of $C_p(X)$ that has non non-trivial convergent sequences.

The result that follows shows that, for wide classes of compact spaces X , if $C_p(X)$ is not Fréchet–Urysohn, then it is very strongly non-Fréchet–Urysohn, i.e., there exists a dense subset of $C_p(X)$ that has non non-trivial convergent sequences.

22. Theorem.

For any monolithic compact space X , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet–Urysohn;*
- (b) X is scattered;*
- (c) every dense set $D \subset C_p(X)$ has a non-trivial convergent sequence.*

Proof.

It is well known that (a) \iff (b) and, trivially, (a) \implies (c). Now, if X is not scattered, then there exists a separable closed crowded set $F \subset X$. Since F is metrizable, there exists a continuous linear map $\varphi : C_p(F) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ such that $\varphi(f)|_F = f$ for each $f \in C_p(F)$.

Proof.

It is well known that (a) \iff (b) and, trivially, (a) \implies (c). Now, if X is not scattered, then there exists a separable closed crowded set $F \subset X$. Since F is metrizable, there exists a continuous linear map $\varphi : C_p(F) \rightarrow C_p(X)$ such that $\varphi(f)|_F = f$ for each $f \in C_p(F)$.

As an easy consequence, the space $C_p(X)$ is linearly homeomorphic to the product $C_p(F) \times I_F$ where $I_F = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(F) \subset \{0\}\}$. It follows from Theorem 15 that we can apply Proposition 12 and Lemma 21 to see that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences; this contradiction with (c) shows that (c) \implies (b).

23. Corollary.

A monolithic compact space X is not scattered if and only if $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences.

23. Corollary.

A monolithic compact space X is not scattered if and only if $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences.

24. Corollary.

A Corson compact space X is not scattered if and only if $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences.

25. Corollary.

For any cardinal $\kappa \geq \mathfrak{c}$, the space \mathbb{R}^κ has a dense subset with no non-trivial convergent sequences.

25. Corollary.

For any cardinal $\kappa \geq \mathfrak{c}$, the space \mathbb{R}^κ has a dense subset with no non-trivial convergent sequences.

Proof.

Let $A(\kappa)$ be the one-point compactification of a discrete space $D(\kappa)$ of cardinality κ . Since $X = A(\kappa) \oplus \mathbb{I}$ is a non-scattered Eberlein compact, we can apply Corollary 24 to see that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset Y with no non-trivial convergent sequences. The set Y is also dense in \mathbb{R}^X ; since $|X| = \kappa$, the spaces \mathbb{R}^X and \mathbb{R}^κ are homeomorphic so \mathbb{R}^κ also has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences.

1. Problem.

Let X be a non-scattered compact space. Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

1. Problem.

Let X be a non-scattered compact space. Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

2. Problem.

Let X be a non-scattered first countable compact space. Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

1. Problem.

Let X be a non-scattered compact space. Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

2. Problem.

Let X be a non-scattered first countable compact space. Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

3. Problem.

Does $C_p(\beta\omega)$ have a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

4. Problem.

Suppose that X is a crowded linearly ordered compact topological space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

4. Problem.

Suppose that X is a crowded linearly ordered compact topological space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

5. Problem.

Let X be a countably compact space such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

4. Problem.

Suppose that X is a crowded linearly ordered compact topological space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense subset without non-trivial convergent sequences?

5. Problem.

Let X be a countably compact space such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

6. Problem.

Let X be a countably compact space of countable tightness such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

7. Problem.

Let X be a pseudocompact space such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

7. Problem.

Let X be a pseudocompact space such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

8. Problem.

Let X be a first countable pseudocompact space such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

7. Problem.

Let X be a pseudocompact space such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

8. Problem.

Let X be a first countable pseudocompact space such that $C_p(X)$ has a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace. Must X be scattered?

9. Problem.

Let X be a first countable pseudocompact non-scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have the Banach property?

10. Problem.

Let X be a countably compact non-scattered space of countable tightness. Must $C_p(X)$ have the Banach property?

10. Problem.

Let X be a countably compact non-scattered space of countable tightness. Must $C_p(X)$ have the Banach property?

11. Problem.

Suppose that X is a scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

10. Problem.

Let X be a countably compact non-scattered space of countable tightness. Must $C_p(X)$ have the Banach property?

11. Problem.

Suppose that X is a scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

12. Problem.

Suppose that X is a scattered metrizable space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

13. Problem.

Suppose that X is a pseudocompact scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

13. Problem.

Suppose that X is a pseudocompact scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

14. Problem.

Suppose that X is a countably compact scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

13. Problem.

Suppose that X is a pseudocompact scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

14. Problem.

Suppose that X is a countably compact scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

15. Problem.

Suppose that X is an infinite compact space. Must $C_p(C_p(X))$ have a dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences?

13. Problem.

Suppose that X is a pseudocompact scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

14. Problem.

Suppose that X is a countably compact scattered space. Must $C_p(X)$ have a dense Fréchet–Urysohn subspace?

15. Problem.

Suppose that X is an infinite compact space. Must $C_p(C_p(X))$ have a dense subspace without non-trivial convergent sequences?

16. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any space X ?

17. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(C_p(X))$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any space X ?

17. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(C_p(X))$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any space X ?

18. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any pseudocompact space X ?

17. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(C_p(X))$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any space X ?

18. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any pseudocompact space X ?

19. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any countably compact space X ?

17. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(C_p(X))$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any space X ?

18. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any pseudocompact space X ?

19. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any countably compact space X ?

20. Problem.

Is it true that $C_p(X)$ has a dense subspace of countable tightness for any Lindelöf space X ?

Thanks for your attention!!!