


Oct 2nd, 10:30 AM - 12:00 PM

# From Acceptable Loss to Unacceptable Harm: How Norm Entrepreneurs Co-opted the Human Rights Discourse (abstract)

Danielle K. Scherer  
*Temple University*

Taylor Benjamin-Britton  
*Temple University*

Follow this and additional works at: [http://ecommons.udayton.edu/human\\_rights](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/human_rights)

 Part of the [Peace and Conflict Studies Commons](#), [Policy Design, Analysis, and Evaluation Commons](#), [Policy History, Theory, and Methods Commons](#), [Politics and Social Change Commons](#), and the [Work, Economy and Organizations Commons](#)

---

Scherer, Danielle K. and Benjamin-Britton, Taylor, "From Acceptable Loss to Unacceptable Harm: How Norm Entrepreneurs Co-opted the Human Rights Discourse (abstract)" (2015). *The Social Practice of Human Rights: Charting the Frontiers of Research and Advocacy*. 3.

[http://ecommons.udayton.edu/human\\_rights/2015/framinghumanrights/3](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/human_rights/2015/framinghumanrights/3)

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Human Rights Center at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Social Practice of Human Rights: Charting the Frontiers of Research and Advocacy by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact [frice1@udayton.edu](mailto:frice1@udayton.edu), [mschlangen1@udayton.edu](mailto:mschlangen1@udayton.edu).

## **The Social Practice of Human Rights: Charting the Frontiers of Research and Advocacy**

2015 Conference of the University of Dayton Human Rights Center

Oct. 2-3, 2015, Dayton, Ohio

For the archive of the conference, see [http://ecommons.udayton.edu/human\\_rights/2015/](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/human_rights/2015/)

---

**Research Panel:** Framing Human Rights

**Presenters:** Danielle K. Scherer and Taylor Benjamin-Britton

**Title:** From Acceptable Loss to Unacceptable Harm: How Norm Entrepreneurs Co-opted the Human Rights Discourse

**Abstract:** Contemporary human rights campaigns have created a shift in the discourse by reframing and co-opting the language surrounding high politics issues such as arms control and human security. The atrocities of the twentieth century led to increased interest in minimizing the costs of war, converging in an international norm privileging the protection of human life. While the dominant discourse in IHL has been geared towards rights of the human, a new approach framing human rights as duties of the state has gained traction resulting in victories for various human rights campaigns. This shift has placed the onus on states to follow particular rules of war to uphold human rights rather than focus on the *post hoc* consequences of their conduct. This paper explores the invocation of different types of ethical discourses and their impact on the outcomes of human rights campaigns, finding that a discourse with a deontological frame is the easiest to interpret with the lowest cost and is consequently most effective as a campaign tool. By serving as a heuristic for moral behavior this underutilized frame reverses the burden of making moral judgments back onto potential human rights violators and shifts the moral choice prior to any loss of life.

**Keywords:** norms, human rights, ethics, discourse

**Biographies:** Danielle K. Scherer and Taylor Benjamin-Britton are PhD candidates at Temple University looking at the role of recognition in international institutions and the role of civil society in humanitarian disarmament, respectively. They are in the final stages of their dissertations, and they are currently working on a shared research agenda concerning the role of ethical discourse in human rights campaigns.