12-1-2017

The Academic Policies Committee Minutes of the
Academic Senate 2017-12-01

University of Dayton. Academic Policies Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins

Recommended Citation
http://ecommons.udayton.edu/senate_cmte_mins/264

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate Committees at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Committee Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact frice1@udayton.edu, mschlangen1@udayton.edu.
1. Minutes from 11/17/17 were approved without changes.

2. Discussion with Registrar (Jennifer Creech) regarding undergraduate certificates was had.
   a. Gainful Employment and Title IV Legislation
      i. Educational institutions are required to show that credentials can advance career of students who enter. Administratively, the registrar handles the tracking of non-degree students to whom this might apply.
      ii. J. Creech will follow-up on these topics with her office.
   b. Course Inventory Management System (CIM) and Workflow Issues
      i. J. Creech discussed that the Registrar’s office is notified of potential certificate programs when they are entered in CIM and this is the system from which the catalog, websites, degreeworks, admissions, etc come from. Thus, the earlier in the approval process that CIM entry can happen, the better from the perspective of the office of the Registrar.
         1. The entry fields in CIM for certificates could and should be modified based on any changes to the approval process.
         2. Brad Balser is the main point of contact for these requests.

3. A. Crecelius reviewed the memo from Janet Bednarek, chair of CAS AAC and corresponding meeting. The AAC exhibited concern to A. Crecelius and J. Valenzano of the workload regarding “approval” of undergraduate certificates rather than “consultation”.

4. Discussion regarding the review process of undergraduate certificates was then discussed.
   a. The need for a subcommittee of APC to review undergraduate certificates was discussed. This approach was discussed relative to having academic units lead the approval and continued review process.
   b. The need to reach a conclusion (consensus or not) regarding this issue, given the heavy workload of upcoming issues was reinforced.
   c. A. Crecelius will draft language of how a subcommittee could handle review and this will be considered with the existing revised policy the committee has worked on.