

9-5-2014

## 2014-09-05 Minutes of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate

University of Dayton. Academic Senate. Executive Committee

Follow this and additional works at: [http://ecommons.udayton.edu/ecas\\_mins](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/ecas_mins)

---

### Recommended Citation

University of Dayton. Academic Senate. Executive Committee, "2014-09-05 Minutes of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate" (2014). *ECAS Minutes*. Paper 320.  
[http://ecommons.udayton.edu/ecas\\_mins/320](http://ecommons.udayton.edu/ecas_mins/320)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in ECAS Minutes by an authorized administrator of eCommons. For more information, please contact [frice1@udayton.edu](mailto:frice1@udayton.edu).

Approved  
Minutes of the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate  
September 5, 2014  
SM113B, 9:00-10:30 AM

**Present:** Phil Anloague, Paul Benson, Erin Brown, Jim Dunne, Harry Gerla, Linda Hartley, Emily Hicks, Carissa Krane, Ed Mykytka, Kathy Webb, Dominique Yantko

**Absent:** Laura Leming

**Guests:** Corinne Daprano

**Opening prayer/meditation:** K. Webb opened the meeting with a meditation.

**Minutes:** The minutes of the August 29, 2014 ECAS meeting were approved with corrections.

**Announcements:**

- Next ECAS Meeting Friday Sept 12, 9:00-10:30, SM 113B
- Fall Faculty Meeting Friday Sept 5, 3:00 pm in Boll Theatre
- ELC meeting schedule was discussed. Three of the meetings conflict with SAPC meetings. C, Krane will ask J. McCombe if the SAPC schedule can be adjusted. If not, the SAPC members (J. McCombe and L. Leming) will be late to the ELC meetings. The October 17<sup>th</sup> meeting is a Senate meeting.

**Old Business:**

No old business was discussed.

**New Business:**

Academic Scheduling Task Force: P. Anloague reported that the task force met on Wednesday to discuss the current charge, timeline, and other issues such as the data they planned to collect. They are working with Richard Stock from Business Research Group to develop a survey. Patsy Martin and P. Anloague will meet with him next week to discuss further. Developing an action plan for effective communication will be key. Utilizing the Chairs' Collaborative would be one possible avenue. L. Hartley stated that receiving feedback from all constituents would be vital and that it would be important to keep student success in the forefront throughout the process. The pressures of being late to class and/or having to leave early could be a major source of stress for students. C. Krane requested that the minutes or an informal report of meetings be shared at ECAS as well as regular reports to the full Senate. She thanked the task force for their work.

CAP-C Membership: ECAS approved the appointment of Joe Mashburn to CAP-C. C. Krane asked that the APC outline the process for appointing people to the committee and communicate the process to Sawyer Hunley, Assistant Provost for CAP for next year. D. Yantko reported that the student representatives should be identified by next Friday.

Senate Parliamentarian Appointment Process: H. Gerla asked that the word "defined" in the second sentence in the first paragraph be changed to "specified." C. Krane will make the edits and format the document for Senate consideration. ECAS will review again next week. The proposed process will be on the September 19<sup>th</sup> Academic Senate agenda. C. Krane met with Jim Farrelly to get his feedback on the proposed process. He was supportive of the plan to not have a parliamentarian at the Senate meetings

until the Senate discusses and approves the process. If approved, the UNRC would be used to gather nominations. The request will be for a tenured faculty member with a goal of having someone in place by the November Senate meeting.

Academic Climate Survey: P. Benson reported that while there is disagreement about how to communicate the survey results, he is confident that the planned Porches group will be a good way to proceed. The goal is to have some consistency across campus as to how the results are shared. There are significant university-wide concerns that need to be discussed and addressed. Issues within a specific unit will be addressed by the Dean and faculty and staff of that unit. He will speak to the survey results and related concerns at the faculty meeting this afternoon.

Concerns about the mechanism for communicating survey results sent to ECAS were discussed. C. Krane will communicate with the concerned faculty members to acknowledge receipt.

The issue of what the Senate's involvement should and should not be was discussed at length. K. Webb cautioned against Senate-level discussions about what a particular unit should do to address concerns in that unit. P. Benson stated that he asked the deans to have a senator at every meeting of faculty to be another "pair of ears" and to potentially answer questions about Senate processes. E. Mykytka stated that some guidance to senators would be important and K. Webb agreed. C. Krane will send out an email to senators next week with guidelines for their role in this process.

Review of Dean's Council Discussion on Academic Dismissal Policy: P. Benson reported that the Deans' Council discussed the proposal in May. There was no agreement on merging Student Development's judicial process with the review process for academic dishonesty that is used by departments, deans' offices, and the provost's office. He acknowledged that the two processes may be confusing for students, but the existence of two parallel processes reflects the complexity of a university. One concern expressed by the Deans was that this policy would distract from the current implementation of a campus-wide reporting process.

There is disagreement among different units about when to dismiss students. K. Webb mentioned the issue of what happens when a student is expelled from a unit but not the university. P. Benson stated that those students usually end up in the College which can create different problems. The question of next steps was discussed. It was decided that the proposal will go back to SAPC with the directive to seek further consultation on the broader issues. E. Hicks suggested that this be considered as a potential topic for the Fall Faculty Association meeting.

Email from Tom Skill about Changes to Test Scoring/Scanning Services for Faculty: It was decided that C. Krane will offer Tom Skill time at the upcoming Senate meeting to brief the senators on the changes.

The meeting was adjourned at 10:33 A.M.

Respectfully submitted by Emily Hicks

### Work in Progress

Task	Source	Previously assigned	To	Work due	Due
Consultation	ECAS	ECAS	ECAS	Open communication	ongoing

Instructional staff titles	Provost's office		FAC		
Information Literacy			ECAS	Charge	
AS Constitution revision	ECAS		ECAS		
Dismissal for academic dishonesty	SBA	SAPC	Deans' Council		
Policy for academic certificate programs			APC		
Anti-discrimination policy	Legal		FAC, SAPC	Comments/recommendations	
Leadership for Educational Systems	SEHS				
Academic scheduling task force	ECAS		ECAS	Report	12/15/14
Review ECAS/Senate representation on Elections Committee	ECAS		FAC		
Review ECAS/Senate representation on UNRC	ECAS		FAC		
<b>Tasks ongoing</b>					
CAP Competency Committee oversight	Senate		APC	Hear monthly reports	
UNRC			ECAS	Hear monthly reports	
Summer tuition	Faculty		SAPC	On hold until tuition model is further developed	